arXiv:math/0511080v2 [math.AP] 12 Oct 2006

ON SCHATTEN-VON NEUMANN CLASS PROPERTIES OF PSEUDO-DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS. THE CORDES-KATO METHOD.

GRUIA ARSU

Abstract. We investigate the Schatten-class properties of pseudo-differential operators with the (revisted) method of Cordes and Kato. As symbol classes we use classes similar to those of Cordes in which the L^{∞}-conditions are replaced by L^{p}-conditions, $1 \leq p < \infty$.

1. Introduction

In two classical papers [10] and [19], H.O. Cordes and T. Kato develop an elegant method to deal with pseudo-differential operators. In [10], H.O. Cordes shows, among others, that if a symbol $a(x,\xi)$ defined on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ has bounded derivatives $D_x^{\alpha} D_{\xi}^{\beta} a$ for $|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq [n/2]+1$, then the associated pseudo-differential operator $A = a(x,D)$ is L^2-bounded. This result, known as Calderón-Vaillancourt theorem, appears for the first time in [7], except that the number of the required derivatives is different. Cordes-Kato method can be used to obtain Calderón-Vaillancourt theorem with a minimal Hölder continuity assumption on the symbol of a pseudo-differential operator. (See [8] and [5] for another approach).

The main purpose of the present paper is to describe an extension of the Cordes-Kato method which can be used to prove trace-class properties of pseudo-differential operators. Among others, we prove that $A \in \mathcal{B}_p\left(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)$ if $D_x^{\alpha} D_{\xi}^{\beta} a \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ for $|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq [n/2]+1$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$, where $\mathcal{B}_p\left(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)$ denote the Schatten ideal of compact operators whose singular values lie in l^p. It is remarkable that this method can be used for (X,τ)-quantization, in particular, for both the Weyl quantization and Kohn-Nirenberg quantization.

For other approaches to the L^2-boundedness and Schatten-class properties of pseudo-differential operators we refer to the works [12], [13], [25], [26], [27], [28].

2. Weyl calculus in the Schrödinger representation

We find it convenient to present the results in the Schrödinger representation formalism (or Weyl systems formalism).

A symplectic space is a real finite dimensional vector space $\mathfrak{S}$ equipped with a real antisymmetric nondegenerate bilinear form σ.

We define the *Fourier measure* $\mathrm{d}\,\xi$ as the unique translation invariant, Borel regular measure on $\mathfrak{S}$ such that the (symplectic) Fourier transform

$$(\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{S}} a)(\xi) \equiv \widehat{a}(\xi) = \int_{\mathfrak{S}} e^{-i\sigma(\xi,\eta)} a(\eta)\,\mathrm{d}\,\eta$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35S05, 43Axx, 46-XX, 47-XX; Secondary 42B15, 42B35.

is involutive (i.e.$\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{S}}^2 = 1$) and unitary on $L^2(\mathfrak{S})$. We use the same notation $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{S}}$ for the extension to $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathfrak{S})$ of this Fourier transform.

We recall some facts in connection with the theory of canonical commutation relations (see [6], [18]). We denote by $\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{S})$ the space of integrable measures on $\mathfrak{S}$ equipped with a unital $*$-algebra structure defined by the twisted convolution

$$(\mu \times \nu)(\xi) = \int_{\mathfrak{S}} e^{\frac{i}{2}\sigma(\xi,\eta)} \mu(\xi - \eta)\nu(\eta)\,\mathrm{d}\,\eta$$

as product, $\mu^*(\xi) = \overline{\mu(-\xi)}$ as involution and δ the Dirac measure at 0 as unit. These definition must be interpreted in the sense of distributions, i.e. for $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathfrak{S})$:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathfrak{S}} f(\xi)\,\mathrm{d}(\mu \times \upsilon)(\xi) &= \iint e^{\frac{i}{2}\sigma(\xi,\eta)} f(\xi+\eta)\,\mathrm{d}\,\mu\,(\xi)\,\mathrm{d}\,\upsilon(\eta),\\ \int_{\mathfrak{S}} f(\xi)\,\mathrm{d}\,\mu^*(\xi) &= \overline{\int_{\mathfrak{S}} \overline{f(-\xi)}\,\mathrm{d}\,\mu\,(\xi)}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}(\mathfrak{S})$ be the space of Fourier transforms of measures in $\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{S})$, provided with the product $a \circ b = \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{S}}\left(\widehat{a} \times \widehat{b}\right)$ and with the usual conjugation $a^* = \overline{a}(= \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{S}}(\widehat{a}^*))$. $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}(\mathfrak{S})$ becomes a unital $*$-algebra, with the function identically equal to 1 ($\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{S}}(\delta) = 1$) as unit. Observe that $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}(\mathfrak{S})$ consists of bounded continuous functions on $\mathfrak{S}$. The product $a \circ b$ is called composition product.

By representation of a symplectic space $\mathfrak{S}$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ we understand a strongly continuous map $\mathcal{W}$ from $\mathfrak{S}$ to the set of unitary operators on $\mathcal{H}$ satisfying:

$$\mathcal{W}(\xi)\,\mathcal{W}(\eta) = e^{\frac{i}{2}\sigma(\xi,\eta)}\mathcal{W}(\xi+\eta) \quad \textit{for all } \xi,\eta \in \mathfrak{S}. \tag{2.1}$$

This implies $\mathcal{W}(0) = 1$, $\mathcal{W}(\xi)^* = \mathcal{W}(-\xi)$ and

$$\mathcal{W}(\xi)\,\mathcal{W}(\eta) = e^{i\sigma(\xi,\eta)}\mathcal{W}(\eta)\,\mathcal{W}(\xi) \quad \textit{for all } \xi,\eta \in \mathfrak{S}. \tag{2.2}$$

The couple $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{W})$ is also called Weyl system associated to the symplectic space $\mathfrak{S}$.

For integrable Borel measures μ on $\mathfrak{S}$, we denote

$$\mathcal{W}(\mu) = \int_{\mathfrak{S}} \mathcal{W}(\xi)\,\mathrm{d}\,\mu(\xi). \tag{2.3}$$

One can easily check then that

$$\mathcal{W}(\mu \times \upsilon) = \mathcal{W}(\mu)\,\mathcal{W}(\upsilon) \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathcal{W}(\mu^*) = \mathcal{W}(\mu)^*,$$

i.e. $\mathcal{W} : \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{S}) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a $*$-representation of $\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{S})$ on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Note that for any $\xi \in \mathfrak{S}$, $\mathcal{W}(\delta_\xi) = \mathcal{W}(\xi)$, where δ_ξ is the Dirac measure at ξ.

There is a bijective corespondence betweeen faithful (irreducible) $*$-representations $\mathrm{Op} : \widehat{\mathcal{M}}(\mathfrak{S}) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and strongly continuous representations $\mathcal{W} : \mathfrak{S} \to \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ (which act irreducibly in $\mathcal{H}$). The corespondence is specified by

$$\mathrm{Op}\,(a) = a\,(R) = \mathcal{W}(\widehat{a}) = \int_{\mathfrak{S}} \mathcal{W}(\xi)\,\mathrm{d}\,\widehat{a}\,(\xi) \tag{2.4}$$

for $a \in \widehat{\mathcal{M}}(\mathfrak{S})$. The application Op is called Weyl calculus, $\mathrm{Op}\,(a)$ being the operator associated to the symbol a.

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the dense linear subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ consisting of the $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ vectors of the representation $\mathcal{W}$

$$\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{W}) = \left\{\varphi \in \mathcal{H} : \mathfrak{S} \ni \xi \to \mathcal{W}(\xi)\varphi \in \mathcal{H} \textit{ is a } \mathcal{C}^\infty \textit{ map}\right\}. \tag{2.5}$$

For each $\xi \in \mathfrak{S}$ the family $\{\mathcal{W}(t\xi)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ is a strongly continuous unitary representation of $\mathbb{R}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ which leaves $\mathcal{S}$ invariant. We denote $\sigma(\xi, R)$ the infinitesimal generator of this group, so that

$$\mathcal{W}(t\xi) = e^{it\sigma(\xi,R)}, \quad \textit{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.6}$$

Clearly $\mathcal{S} \subset D(\sigma(\xi, R))$, $\mathcal{S}$ is stable under $\sigma(\xi, R)$, and $\sigma(\xi, R) \mid \mathcal{S}$ is essentially self-adjoint by Nelson's lemma (Theorem VIII.11 in [21]). From (2.1) and (2.2) we get

$$\sigma(\xi + \eta, R) = \sigma(\xi, R) + \sigma(\eta, R),$$
$$\mathcal{W}(\xi)\sigma(\eta, R)\mathcal{W}(-\xi) = \sigma(\eta, R) + \sigma(\xi, \eta), \quad i\,[\sigma(\xi, R), \sigma(\eta, R)] = \sigma(\xi, \eta) \tag{2.7}$$

on $\mathcal{S}$ for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{S}$.

Note that R in $\sigma(\xi, R)$ or $a(R)$ is the pair (Q, P), where Q is position and P is momentum observable in physics and the pair (x, D) for users of pseudo-differential calculus as presented , for example, in ch. XVIII of [15] or in [11].

The space $\mathcal{S}$ can be described in terms of the subspaces $D(\sigma(\xi, R))$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S} &= \bigcap_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_k\in\mathfrak{S}} D(\sigma(\xi_1, R)\ldots\sigma(\xi_k, R)) \\ &= \bigcap_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_k\in\mathcal{B}} D(\sigma(\xi_1, R)\ldots\sigma(\xi_k, R)),\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{B}$ is a (symplectic) basis. The topology in $\mathcal{S}$ defined by the family of seminorms $\left\{\|\cdot\|_{k,\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_k}\right\}_{k\in\mathbb{N},\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_k\in\mathfrak{S}}$

$$\|\varphi\|_{k,\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_k} = \|\sigma(\xi_1, R)\ldots\sigma(\xi_k, R)\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{S}$$

makes $\mathcal{S}$ a Fréchet space. We denote by $\mathcal{S}^*$ the space of all continuous, antilinear (semilinear) mappings $\mathcal{S} \to \mathbb{C}$ equipped with the weak topology $\sigma(\mathcal{S}^*, \mathcal{S})$. Since $\mathcal{S} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ continuously and densely, and since $\mathcal{H}$ is always identified with its adjoint $\mathcal{H}^*$, we obtain a scale of dense inclusions

$$\mathcal{S} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}^*$$

such that, if $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle : \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}^* \to \mathbb{C}$ is the antiduality between $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{S}^*$ (antilinear in the first and linear in the second argument), then for $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$ and $u \in \mathcal{H}$, if u is considered as an element of $\mathcal{S}^*$, the number $\langle\varphi, u\rangle$ is just the scalar product in $\mathcal{H}$. For this reason we do not distinguish between the the scalar product in $\mathcal{H}$ and the antiduality between $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{S}^*$.

For $\xi \in \mathfrak{S}$, $\mathcal{W}(\xi)$ and $\mathcal{W}(-\xi)$ are topological isomorphisms of $\mathcal{S}$ such that $\mathcal{W}(-\xi)\mathcal{W}(\xi) = \mathcal{W}(\xi)\mathcal{W}(-\xi) = 1_{\mathcal{S}}$. Hence we can extend $\mathcal{W}(\xi)$ to $\mathcal{S}^*$ as the adjoint of the mapping of $\mathcal{W}(-\xi)|\mathcal{S}$. Then $\mathcal{W}(\xi)$ and $\mathcal{W}(-\xi)$ are isomorphisms of $\mathcal{S}^*$ onto itself such that $\mathcal{W}(-\xi) = \mathcal{W}(\xi)^{-1}$.

The first formula of (2.7) and an induction argument give

$$\mathcal{W}(-\xi)\sigma(\eta_1, R)\ldots\sigma(\eta_k, R)\mathcal{W}(\xi) = (\sigma(\eta_1, R) + \sigma(\eta_1, \xi))\ldots(\sigma(\eta_k, R) + \sigma(\eta_k, \xi))$$

for all $\xi, \eta_1, \ldots\eta_k \in \mathfrak{S}$. Let $\mathcal{B} = \left\{\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n, \varepsilon^1, \ldots, \varepsilon^n\right\}$ be a symplectic basis, i.e. we have for $j, k = 1, \ldots, n$

$$\sigma(\varepsilon_j, \varepsilon_k) = \sigma(\varepsilon^j, \varepsilon^k) = \sigma(\varepsilon^j, \varepsilon_k) - \delta_{jk} = 0$$

where δ_{jk} is the Kroneker delta, equal to 1 when $j=k$ and 0 when $j\neq k$. By making a suitable choise of the vectors $\eta_1,...\eta_k$ in $\mathcal{B}$, we obtain

$$e^{i\langle x,P\rangle}Q^{\alpha}e^{-i\langle x,P\rangle}=(Q+x)^{\alpha}\,,\quad e^{-i\langle Q,p\rangle}P_{\beta}e^{i\langle Q,p\rangle}=(P+p)_{\beta}\,.$$

Here we used the following notations

$$\mathfrak{S}=X+X^{*},\quad X=\mathbb{R}\varepsilon_1+...+\mathbb{R}\varepsilon_n,\quad X^{*}=\mathbb{R}\varepsilon^1+...+\mathbb{R}\varepsilon^n,$$
$$\xi=x+p,\quad x=x^1\varepsilon_1+...+x^n\varepsilon_n,\quad p=p_1\varepsilon^1+...+p_n\varepsilon^n,$$
$$x^1=\sigma(\varepsilon^1,\xi),...,x^n=\sigma(\varepsilon^n,\xi),\quad p_1=\sigma(-\varepsilon_1,\xi),...,p_n=\sigma(-\varepsilon_n,\xi),$$
$$\langle x,p\rangle=\sigma(p,x)=x^1p_1+...+x^np_n,$$
$$x^{\alpha}=\left(x^1\right)^{\alpha_1}...\left(x^n\right)^{\alpha_n},\quad p_{\beta}=(p_1)^{\beta_1}....(p_n)^{\beta_n},\quad \alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{N}^n$$

and

$$\langle Q,p\rangle=\sigma(p,R),\quad p\in X^{*},\quad \langle x,P\rangle=\sigma(-x,R),\quad x\in X,$$
$$\mathcal{W}(p)=e^{i\langle Q,p\rangle},\quad p\in X^{*},\quad \mathcal{W}(x)=e^{-i\langle x,P\rangle},\quad x\in X,$$
$$Q^j=\left\langle Q,\varepsilon^j\right\rangle=\sigma(\varepsilon^j,R),\quad P_j=\langle\varepsilon_j,P\rangle=\sigma(-\varepsilon_j,R),\quad j=1,...,n,$$
$$Q^{\alpha}=\left(Q^1\right)^{\alpha_1}...\left(Q^n\right)^{\alpha_n},\quad P_{\beta}=(P_1)^{\beta_1}....(P_n)^{\beta_n},\quad \alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{N}^n.$$

These formulas together with the binomial formula give

$$\begin{aligned}
x^{\alpha} &= (Q+x-Q)^{\alpha}=\sum_{0\leq\gamma\leq\alpha}(-1)^{|\gamma|}\begin{pmatrix}\alpha\\ \gamma\end{pmatrix}(Q+x)^{\alpha-\gamma}Q^{\gamma}\\
&= \sum_{0\leq\gamma\leq\alpha}(-1)^{|\gamma|}\begin{pmatrix}\alpha\\ \gamma\end{pmatrix}e^{i\langle x,P\rangle}Q^{\alpha-\gamma}e^{-i\langle x,P\rangle}Q^{\gamma},\\
p_{\beta} &= (P-(P-p))_{\beta}=\sum_{0\leq\gamma\leq\beta}(-1)^{|\gamma|}\begin{pmatrix}\beta\\ \gamma\end{pmatrix}P_{\beta-\gamma}(P-p)_{\gamma}\\
&= \sum_{0\leq\gamma\leq\beta}(-1)^{|\gamma|}\begin{pmatrix}\beta\\ \gamma\end{pmatrix}P_{\beta-\gamma}e^{i\langle Q,p\rangle}P_{\gamma}e^{-i\langle Q,p\rangle}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence on $\mathcal{S}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&x^{\alpha}p_{\beta}e^{i\langle Q,p\rangle}e^{-i\langle x,P\rangle}=p_{\beta}e^{i\langle Q,p\rangle}e^{-i\langle x,P\rangle}x^{\alpha}\\
&\quad=\sum_{0\leq\gamma\leq\beta,0\leq\delta\leq\alpha}(-1)^{|\gamma|+|\delta|}\begin{pmatrix}\beta\\ \gamma\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\alpha\\ \delta\end{pmatrix}P_{\beta-\gamma}Q^{\alpha-\delta}e^{i\langle Q,p\rangle}e^{-i\langle x,P\rangle}Q^{\delta}P_{\gamma}.
\end{aligned}$$

We can now prove prove the following result.

Lemma 2.1. *If $\varphi,\psi\in\mathcal{S}$, then the map $\mathfrak{S}\ni\xi\to\langle\psi,\mathcal{W}(\xi)\varphi\rangle_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^{*}}\in\mathbb{C}$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{S})$. Moreover, for each continuous seminorm p on $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{S})$ there are continuous seminorms q and q' on $\mathcal{S}$ such that*

$$p\left(\langle\psi,\mathcal{W}(\cdot)\varphi\rangle_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^{*}}\right)\leq q(\psi)q'(\varphi).$$

Proof. With the above notations for $\xi=x+p$ we have

$$\mathcal{W}(\xi)=\mathcal{W}(x+p)=e^{-\frac{i}{2}\sigma(p,x)}\mathcal{W}(p)\mathcal{W}(x)=e^{-\frac{i}{2}\langle x,p\rangle}e^{i\langle Q,p\rangle}e^{-i\langle x,P\rangle}$$

so it suffices to study the behaviour of the map $(x,p) \to e^{i\langle Q,p\rangle}e^{-i\langle x,P\rangle}$ at infinity. We may use the decomposition of $x^{\alpha}p_{\beta}e^{i\langle Q,p\rangle}e^{-i\langle x,P\rangle}$ to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|x^{\alpha}p_{\beta}\left\langle\psi, e^{i\langle Q,p\rangle}e^{-i\langle x,P\rangle}\varphi\right\rangle\right| \\
&\quad\leq \sum_{0\leq\gamma\leq\beta,0\leq\delta\leq\alpha}\left(\begin{array}{c}\beta\\ \gamma\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}\alpha\\ \delta\end{array}\right)\left|\left\langle Q^{\alpha-\delta}P_{\beta-\gamma}\psi, e^{i\langle Q,p\rangle}e^{-i\langle x,P\rangle}Q^{\delta}P_{\gamma}\varphi\right\rangle\right| \\
&\qquad\qquad\leq \sum_{0\leq\gamma\leq\beta,0\leq\delta\leq\alpha}\left(\begin{array}{c}\beta\\ \gamma\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}\alpha\\ \delta\end{array}\right)\left\|Q^{\alpha-\delta}P_{\beta-\gamma}\psi\right\|\left\|Q^{\delta}P_{\gamma}\varphi\right\|<\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{\beta}^{\alpha}\left[e^{i\langle Q,p\rangle}e^{-i\langle x,P\rangle}\varphi\right] &= i^{|\alpha|}\left(-i\right)^{|\beta|}e^{i\langle Q,p\rangle}Q^{\alpha}e^{-i\langle x,P\rangle}P_{\beta}\varphi \\
&= i^{|\alpha|}\left(-i\right)^{|\beta|}e^{i\langle Q,p\rangle}e^{-i\langle x,P\rangle}e^{i\langle x,P\rangle}Q^{\alpha}e^{-i\langle x,P\rangle}P_{\beta}\varphi \\
&= i^{|\alpha|}\left(-i\right)^{|\beta|}e^{i\langle Q,p\rangle}e^{-i\langle x,P\rangle}\left(Q+x\right)^{\alpha}P_{\beta}\varphi \\
&= i^{|\alpha|}\left(-i\right)^{|\beta|}e^{i\langle Q,p\rangle}e^{-i\langle x,P\rangle}\sum_{0\leq\gamma\leq\alpha}\left(\begin{array}{c}\alpha\\ \gamma\end{array}\right)x^{\alpha-\gamma}Q^{\gamma}P_{\beta}\varphi
\end{aligned}$$

and $Q^{\gamma}P_{\beta}\varphi\in\mathcal{S}$, we conclude that all derivatives of $(x,p)\to\left\langle\psi, e^{i\langle Q,p\rangle}e^{-i\langle x,P\rangle}\varphi\right\rangle$ have similar estimates. The proof is complete. ∎

Lemma 2.1 makes it natural to extend Weyl calculus from symbols in $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}\left(\mathfrak{S}\right)$ to symbols in $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\mathfrak{S})$.

Corollary 2.2. *Let $(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{W})$ be a Weyl system associated to the symplectic space $(\mathfrak{S},\sigma)$. Then for each $\mu, a\in\mathcal{S}^{*}\left(\mathfrak{S}\right)$ we can define the operators $\mathcal{W}(\mu), \mathrm{Op}(a):\mathcal{S}\to\mathcal{S}^{*}$ by*

$$\mathcal{W}(\mu)=\int_{\mathfrak{S}}\mathcal{W}(\xi)\mu(\xi)\,\mathrm{d}\,\xi,\quad \mathrm{Op}(a)=\int_{\mathfrak{S}}\mathcal{W}(\xi)\widehat{a}(\xi)\,\mathrm{d}\,\xi.$$

The above integrals make sense if they are taken in the weak sense, i.e. for $\varphi,\psi\in\mathcal{S}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\varphi,\mathcal{W}(\mu)\psi\rangle_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^{*}} &= \left\langle\overline{\langle\varphi,\mathcal{W}\left(\cdot\right)\psi\rangle}_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^{*}},\mu\right\rangle_{\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{S}),\mathcal{S}^{*}(\mathfrak{S})}, \\
\langle\varphi,\mathrm{Op}(a)\psi\rangle_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^{*}} &= \left\langle\overline{\langle\varphi,\mathcal{W}\left(\cdot\right)\psi\rangle}_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^{*}},\widehat{a}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{S}),\mathcal{S}^{*}(\mathfrak{S})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, from Lemma 2.1 one obtains that

$$\left|\langle\varphi,\mathcal{W}(\mu)\psi\rangle_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^{*}}\right|+\left|\langle\varphi,\mathrm{Op}(a)\psi\rangle_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^{*}}\right|\leq p\left(\langle\varphi,\mathcal{W}(\cdot)\psi\rangle_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^{*}}\right)\leq q\left(\varphi\right)q'\left(\psi\right),$$

where p is a continuous seminorm on $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{S})$ and q and q' are continuous seminorms on $\mathcal{S}$.

If on $\mathcal{S}^{*}\left(\mathfrak{S}\right)$ we shall consider the weak topology $\sigma(\mathcal{S}^{*}\left(\mathfrak{S}\right),\mathcal{S}\left(\mathfrak{S}\right))$ and on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^{*})$ the topology defined by the seminorms $\left\{p_{\varphi,\psi}\right\}_{\varphi,\psi\in\mathcal{S}}$

$$p_{\varphi,\psi}\left(A\right)=\left|\langle\varphi,A\psi\rangle\right|,\quad A\in\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^{*}),$$

then the mappings

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{W} &: \mathcal{S}^{*}\left(\mathfrak{S}\right)\to\mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^{*}\right),\quad \mu\to\mathcal{W}(\mu), \\
\mathrm{Op} &: \mathcal{S}^{*}\left(\mathfrak{S}\right)\to\mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^{*}\right),\quad a\to\mathrm{Op}(a)
\end{aligned}$$

are well defined linear and continuous.

A subspace $E \subset \mathfrak{S}$ is called *isotropic* if $E \subset E^{\sigma}$ and *involutive* if $E^{\sigma} \subset E$. If both are valid, i.e. $E = E^{\sigma}$, then E is *lagrangian.* An isotropic subspace $X \subset \mathfrak{S}$ is lagrangian if and only if $2 \dim X = \dim \mathfrak{S}$.

Next we shall make the connection with Weyl calculus familiar to users of pseudo-differential calculus as presented , for example, in ch. XVIII of [15] or in [11].

Let X be an n dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{R}$ and X^* its dual. Denote $x, y, ...$ the elements af X and $k, p, ...$ those of X^*. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : X \times X^* \to \mathbb{R}$ be the duality form, i.e. a non-degenerate bilinear form. The symplectic space is defined by $\mathfrak{S} = T^*(X) = X \times X^*$ the symplectic form being $\sigma\left((x,p), (x',p')\right) = \langle x', p \rangle - \langle x, p' \rangle$. Observe that X and X^* are lagrangian subspaces of $\mathfrak{S}$. Let us mention that there is a kind of converse to this construction. Let (X, X^*) be a couple of lagrangian subspaces of $\mathfrak{S}$ such that $X \cap X^* = 0$ or, equivalently, $X + X^* = \mathfrak{S}$. If for $x \in X$ and $p \in X^*$ we define $\langle x, p \rangle = \sigma(p, x)$, then we get a non-degenerate bilinear form on $X \times X^*$ which allows us to identify X^* with the dual of X. A couple (X, X^*) of subspaces of $\mathfrak{S}$ with the preceding properties is called a *holonomic decomposition* of $\mathfrak{S}$.

We define the (Fourier) transforms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_X,\ \overline{\mathcal{F}}_X &: \mathcal{S}^*(X) \to \mathcal{S}^*(X^*), \\ \mathcal{F}_{X^*},\ \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{X^*} &: \mathcal{S}^*(X^*) \to \mathcal{S}^*(X), \end{aligned}$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{F}_X u)(p) &= \int_X e^{-i\langle x,p\rangle} u(x) \,\mathrm{d}\,x, \quad (\overline{\mathcal{F}}_X u)(p) = \int_X e^{+i\langle x,p\rangle} u(x) \,\mathrm{d}\,x, \\ (\mathcal{F}_{X^*} v)(x) &= \int_{X^*} e^{-i\langle x,p\rangle} v(p) \,\mathrm{d}\,p, \quad (\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{X^*} v)(x) = \int_{X^*} e^{+i\langle x,p\rangle} v(p) \,\mathrm{d}\,p. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\mathrm{d}\,x$ is a Haar measure in X and $\mathrm{d}\,p$ is the dual one in X^* such that Fourier's inversion formulas $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{X^*} \circ \mathcal{F}_X = 1_{\mathcal{S}^*(X)}$, $\mathcal{F}_X \circ \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{X^*} = 1_{\mathcal{S}^*(X^*)}$ hold. Replacing $\mathrm{d}\,x$ by $c\,\mathrm{d}\,x$ one must change $\mathrm{d}\,p$ to $c^{-1}\,\mathrm{d}\,p$ so $\mathrm{d}\,\xi = \mathrm{d}\,x \otimes \mathrm{d}\,p$ is invariantly defined and it is exactly the Fourier measure on $\mathfrak{S}$. Then the symplectic Fourier transform is given by

$$(\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{S}} a)(x,p) = \iint_{X \times X^*} e^{-i[\langle y,p\rangle - \langle x,k\rangle]} a(y,k) \,\mathrm{d}\,y \,\mathrm{d}\,k = \left(\mathcal{F}_X \otimes \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{X^*}\right) a(p,x),$$

so $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{S}} = \mathcal{I} \circ (\mathcal{F}_X \otimes \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{X^*})$, where $\mathcal{I} : \mathcal{S}^*(X^* \times X) \to \mathcal{S}^*(X \times X^*)$ is given by $(\mathcal{I}b)(x,p) = b(p,x)$.

To each finite dimensional vector space X over $\mathbb{R}$ and to each Haar measure $\mathrm{d}\,x$ on X, one associates a representation of the symplectic space $\mathfrak{S} = T^*(X) = X \times X^*$, the Schrödinger representation, defined as follows: $\mathcal{H}(X) = L^2(X, \mathrm{d}\,x)$ and for $\xi = (x,p)$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{H}(X)$

$$\mathcal{W}(\xi)\,\psi(\cdot) = e^{i\langle \cdot - x/2, p\rangle} \psi(\cdot - x).$$

Equivalently,

$$\mathcal{W}(\xi) = e^{-\frac{i}{2}\langle x,p\rangle} e^{i\langle Q,p\rangle} e^{-i\langle x,P\rangle} = e^{\frac{i}{2}\langle x,p\rangle} e^{-i\langle x,P\rangle} e^{i\langle Q,p\rangle} = e^{i(\langle Q,p\rangle - \langle x,P\rangle)}.$$

Remark 2.3. (a) *If* $\{\varepsilon_1, ..., \varepsilon_n\}$ *is a basis in* X *and* $\left\{\varepsilon^1, ..., \varepsilon^n\right\}$ *is the dual basis in* X^*, *then* $\mathcal{B} = \left\{\varepsilon_1, ..., \varepsilon_n, \varepsilon^1, ..., \varepsilon^n\right\}$ *is a symplectic basis and*

$$P_j = -i\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}, \quad Q^j = M_{x^j}, \quad j = 1, ..., n,$$

in the Schrödinger representation. Here M_f is the multiplication operator by the function f.

(b) *The space $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}(X), \mathcal{W})$ of the $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ vectors of the Schrödinger representation $(\mathcal{H}(X), \mathcal{W})$ is the space $\mathcal{S}(X)$ of tempered test functions.*

(c) *The Schrödinger representation $(\mathcal{H}(X), \mathcal{W})$ is irreducible (this is just Lemma 7.1.4 in* [15]*).*

We recall that a symplectic space has only one irreducible representation (modulo unitary equivalence) and that each of its representations is a multiple of this one (see Theorem 15 in [18], Theorem C.38 in [20]).

In the rest of the section we shall work in the representation described above.

For a function f on $\mathfrak{S} = T^*(X)$, we denote by $f(P_{\mathfrak{S}})$ the operator $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{S}} M_f \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{S}}$ on $\mathcal{H}(X)$. Let τ be an endomorphism of X. If for $(x,p) \in T^*(X)$ we set $\theta_{X,\tau}(x,p) = \langle \tau x, p\rangle$ then we get a quadratic form on $T^*(X)$ which allows us to introduce the following definition.

Definition 2.4. *Let $a \in \mathcal{S}^*(X \times X^*)$. We can define the operator $a_X^\tau(R) = a_X^\tau(Q,P) : \mathcal{S}(X) \to \mathcal{S}^*(X)$ by*

$$a_X^\tau(R) = \int \mathcal{W}(\xi)\, \widehat{a_X^\tau}(\xi)\, \mathrm{d}\,\xi,$$

where $a_X^\tau = e^{i\theta_{X,\frac{1}{2}-\tau}(P_{\mathfrak{S}})} a$. The above integral make sense if it is taken in the weak sense, i.e. for $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(X)$

$$\langle \varphi, a_X^\tau(R)\,\psi\rangle_{\mathcal{S}(X),\mathcal{S}^*(X)} = \left\langle \overline{\langle \varphi, \mathcal{W}(\cdot)\psi\rangle}_{\mathcal{S}(X),\mathcal{S}^*(X)}, \widehat{a_X^\tau} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{S}),\mathcal{S}^*(\mathfrak{S})}.$$

$a \in \mathcal{S}^*(X \times X^*)$ is called (X,τ)-symbol of $a_X^\tau(Q,P)$ and a_X^τ is the Weyl symbol of this operator.

Let $a, b \in \widehat{\mathcal{M}}(\mathfrak{S})$. Then the Weyl symbol of the operator $a_X^\tau(Q,P)\, b_X^\tau(Q,P)$ is $a_X^\tau \circ b_X^\tau$ while the (X,τ)-symbol is $e^{-i\left(\frac{1}{2}-\tau\right)\theta_X(P_{\mathfrak{S}})} a_X^\tau \circ b_X^\tau$ denoted by $a \underset{X}{\overset{\tau}{\circ}} b$ and called (X,τ)-composition product.

We shall now check the action of $a_X^\tau(R)$ when $a \in \mathcal{S}(X \times X^*)$. For $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(X)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(a_X^\tau(R)\,\varphi)(x) &= \iint\limits_{X\times X^*} e^{i\theta_{X,\frac{1}{2}-\tau}(y,p)} \mathcal{W}(y,p)\,\varphi(x)\,\widehat{a}(y,p)\,\mathrm{d}\,y\,\mathrm{d}\,p \\
&= \iint\limits_{X\times X^*} e^{i\langle\left(\frac{1}{2}-\tau\right)y,p\rangle} e^{i\langle x-\frac{1}{2}y,p\rangle} \varphi(x-y)\,(\mathcal{F}_X \otimes \mathcal{F}_X^{-1})a(p,y)\,\mathrm{d}\,y\,\mathrm{d}\,p \\
&= \iint\limits_{X\times X^*} e^{i\langle x-\tau y,p\rangle} \varphi(x-y)\,(\mathcal{F}_X \otimes \mathcal{F}_X^{-1})a(p,y)\,\mathrm{d}\,y\,\mathrm{d}\,p \\
&= \int_X \varphi(x-y)\left(\mathcal{F}_X^{-1} \otimes \mathrm{id}\right)\left(\left(\mathcal{F}_X \otimes \mathcal{F}_X^{-1}\right)a\right)(x-\tau y, y)\,\mathrm{d}\,y \\
&= \int_X \varphi(x-y)\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathcal{F}_X^{-1}\right) a(x-\tau y, y)\,\mathrm{d}\,y \\
&= \int_X \left(\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathcal{F}_X^{-1}\right) a((1-\tau)x+\tau y, x-y)\,\varphi(y)\,\mathrm{d}\,y.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that the kernel of $a_X^\tau(R)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{K}_{a_X^\tau(R)} = \left(\left(\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathcal{F}_X^{-1}\right)a\right)\circ C_\tau \tag{2.8}$$

where C_τ is the map

$$C_\tau : X\times X \to X\times X, \quad C_\tau(x,y) = \left((1-\tau)x+\tau y, x-y\right).$$

Let us note that (2.8) is true for $a\in\mathcal{S}^*(X\times X^*)$ because $\mathcal{S}(X\times X^*)$ is dense in $\mathcal{S}^*(X\times X^*)$ in the weak topology and the mappings

$$\mathcal{S}^*(X\times X^*) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}^*(X\times X^*) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S}(X),\mathcal{S}^*(X)),$$

$$a \to a_X^\tau = e^{i\theta_{X,\frac{1}{2}-\tau}(P_{\mathfrak{S}})}a \to \mathrm{Op}\left(a_X^\tau\right) = a_X^\tau(R),$$

$$\mathcal{S}^*(X\times X^*) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}^*(X\times X^*), \quad a \to \left(\left(\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathcal{F}_X^{-1}\right)a\right)\circ C_\tau$$

are continuous if on $\mathcal{S}^*(X\times X^*)$ we consider the $\sigma(\mathcal{S}^*(X\times X^*),\mathcal{S}(X\times X^*))$ topology and on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S}(X),\mathcal{S}^*(X))$ the topology defined by the family of seminorms $\{p_{\varphi,\psi}\}_{\varphi,\psi\in\mathcal{S}(X)}$

$$p_{\varphi,\psi}(A) = \left|\langle\varphi, A\psi\rangle\right|, \quad A\in\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S}(X),\mathcal{S}^*(X)).$$

Since the equation in $a\in\mathcal{S}^*(X\times X^*)$, $\left(\left(\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathcal{F}_X^{-1}\right)a\right)\circ C_\tau = \mathcal{K}$, has a unique solution for each $\mathcal{K}\in\mathcal{S}^*(X\times X^*)$, a consequence of the kernel theorem is the fact that the map

$$\mathcal{S}^*(X\times X^*) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S}(X),\mathcal{S}^*(X)), \quad a \to a_X^\tau(R)$$

is linear, continuous and bijective. Hence to each $A\in\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S}(X),\mathcal{S}^*(X))$ we associate a distribution $a\in\mathcal{S}^*(X\times X^*)$ such that $A = a_X^\tau(R)$. This distribution is called (X,τ)-symbol of A and we shall use the notation $a = \sigma_X^\tau(A)$. When $\tau = \frac{1}{2}$ then $\sigma_X^{\frac{1}{2}}(A)$ is just the Weyl symbol of A.

3. KATO'S IDENTITY

In this section we state and prove an extension of a formula due to T. Kato which is a basic tool in this paper. For a finite dimensional vector space E over $\mathbb{R}$, we shall use the notation $\mathcal{C}_{pol}^\infty(E)$ for the subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(E)$ consisting of functions whose derivatives have at most polynomial groth at infinity. We shall need the following auxiliary result.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{W})$ be a Weyl system associated to the symplectic space $\mathfrak{S}$ and let $\varphi,\psi\in\mathcal{S}$.*

(a) *If $a\in\mathcal{S}^*(\mathfrak{S})$, then the map*

$$\mathfrak{S}\ni\xi \to \langle\varphi, \mathcal{W}(\xi)\,a(R)\,\mathcal{W}(-\xi)\,\psi\rangle_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^*}\in\mathbb{C}$$

belongs to $\mathcal{C}_{pol}^\infty(\mathfrak{S})$.

(b) *If $a\in\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{S})$, then the map*

$$\mathfrak{S}\ni\xi \to \langle\varphi, \mathcal{W}(\xi)\,a(R)\,\mathcal{W}(-\xi)\,\psi\rangle_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^*}\in\mathbb{C}$$

belongs to $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{S})$.

(c) *If $a\in\mathcal{S}^*(\mathfrak{S})$ and $\xi\in\mathfrak{S}$, then*

$$\mathcal{W}(\xi)\,a(R)\,\mathcal{W}(-\xi) = (T_\xi a)(R),$$

where $T_\xi a$ denote the translate by ξ of the distribution a, i.e. $(T_\xi a)(\eta) = a(\eta-\xi)$.

Proof. We know that $w = w_{\varphi,\psi} = \langle \varphi, \mathcal{W}(\cdot)\psi\rangle_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^*} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{S})$. Assume that $a \in \mathcal{S}^*(\mathfrak{S})$. Then from Corollary 2.2, (2.1) and (2.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \varphi, \mathcal{W}(\xi)\, a(R)\, \mathcal{W}(-\xi)\,\psi\rangle_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^*} &= \langle \mathcal{W}(-\xi)\,\varphi, a(R)\,\mathcal{W}(-\xi)\,\psi\rangle_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^*} \\
&= \left\langle \overline{\langle \mathcal{W}(-\xi)\,\varphi, \mathcal{W}(\cdot)\,\mathcal{W}(-\xi)\,\psi\rangle}_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^*}, \widehat{a}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{S}),\mathcal{S}^*(\mathfrak{S})} \\
&= \left\langle \overline{\langle \varphi, \mathcal{W}(\xi)\,\mathcal{W}(\cdot)\,\mathcal{W}(-\xi)\,\psi\rangle}_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^*}, \widehat{a}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{S}),\mathcal{S}^*(\mathfrak{S})} \\
&= \left\langle \overline{\langle \varphi, e^{i\sigma(\xi,\cdot)}\mathcal{W}(\cdot)\,\psi\rangle}_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^*}, \widehat{a}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{S}),\mathcal{S}^*(\mathfrak{S})} \\
&= \left\langle e^{-i\sigma(\xi,\cdot)}\overline{\langle \varphi, \mathcal{W}(\cdot)\,\psi\rangle}_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^*}, \widehat{a}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{S}),\mathcal{S}^*(\mathfrak{S})} \\
&= \left\langle e^{-i\sigma(\xi,\cdot)}\overline{w}, \widehat{a}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{S}),\mathcal{S}^*(\mathfrak{S})} = \left\langle \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{S}}\left(e^{-i\sigma(\xi,\cdot)}\overline{w}\right), a\right\rangle_{\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{S}),\mathcal{S}^*(\mathfrak{S})} \\
&= \left\langle \widehat{\overline{w}}\,(\cdot+\xi)\,, a\right\rangle_{\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{S}),\mathcal{S}^*(\mathfrak{S})} = \left\langle \overline{\widehat{w}}\,(-\cdot-\xi)\,, a\right\rangle_{\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{S}),\mathcal{S}^*(\mathfrak{S})} = (a * \widehat{w})\,(-\xi)\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\langle \varphi, \mathcal{W}(\xi)\, a(R)\, \mathcal{W}(-\xi)\,\psi\rangle_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^*} = (a * \widehat{w})\,(-\xi)\,, \quad \xi \in \mathfrak{S} \tag{3.1}$$

and (a) and (b) follows at once from this equality.

(c) We shall prove the equality for $a \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{S})$, then the general case follows by continuity. For $a \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{S})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{W}(\xi)\, a(R)\, \mathcal{W}(-\xi) &= \mathcal{W}(\delta_\xi)\,\mathcal{W}(\widehat{a})\,\mathcal{W}(\delta_{-\xi}) = \mathcal{W}(\delta_\xi \times \widehat{a} \times \delta_{-\xi}) \\
&= \mathcal{W}\left(e^{i\sigma(\xi,\cdot)}\widehat{a}\right) = \mathcal{W}\left(\widehat{T_\xi a}\right) = (T_\xi a)\,(R)
\end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete. ∎

We shall now prove two extensions of some important identities due to T. Kato.

Lemma 3.2 (Kato). *Let $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{W})$ be a Weyl system associated to the symplectic space $\mathfrak{S}$.*

(a) *If $b \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{S})$, $c \in \mathcal{S}^*(\mathfrak{S})$, then $b * c \in \mathcal{S}^*(\mathfrak{S})$ and*

$$\begin{aligned}
(b * c)\,(R) &= \int_{\mathfrak{S}} b(\xi)\,\mathcal{W}(\xi)\, c(R)\,\mathcal{W}(-\xi)\,\mathrm{d}\,\xi \\
&= \int_{\mathfrak{S}} c(\xi)\,\mathcal{W}(\xi)\, b(R)\,\mathcal{W}(-\xi)\,\mathrm{d}\,\xi,
\end{aligned}$$

where the first integral is weakly absolutely convergent while the second one must be interpreted in the sese of distributions and represents the operator defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle \varphi, \left(\int_{\mathfrak{S}} c(\xi)\,\mathcal{W}(\xi)\, b(R)\,\mathcal{W}(-\xi)\,\mathrm{d}\,\xi\right)\psi\right\rangle_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^*} \\
&\qquad\qquad = \left\langle \overline{\langle \varphi, \mathcal{W}(\cdot)\, b(R)\,\mathcal{W}(-\cdot)\,\psi\rangle}_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^*}, c\right\rangle_{\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{S}),\mathcal{S}^*(\mathfrak{S})},
\end{aligned}$$

for all φ, $\psi \in \mathcal{S}$.

(b) *Let $h \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_{pol}(\mathfrak{S})$. If $b \in L^p(\mathfrak{S})$ and $c \in L^q(\mathfrak{S})$, where $1 \le p, q \le \infty$ and $p^{-1} + q^{-1} \ge 1$, then $b * c \in L^r(\mathfrak{S})$, $r^{-1} = p^{-1} + q^{-1} - 1$ and*

$$(h(P_{\mathfrak{S}})\,(b * c))\,(R) = \int_{\mathfrak{S}} b(\xi)\,\mathcal{W}(\xi)\,(h(P_{\mathfrak{S}})\, c)\,(R)\,\mathcal{W}(-\xi)\,\mathrm{d}\,\xi, \tag{3.2}$$

where the integral is weakly absolutely convergent.

Proof. (a) Let $\varphi,\ \psi \in \mathcal{S}$. Then $w = w_{\varphi,\psi} = \langle \varphi, \mathcal{W}(\cdot)\psi\rangle_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^*} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{S})$. First we consider the case when $b,\ c \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{S})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \varphi, (b*c)(R)\psi\rangle_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^*} &= \int_{\mathfrak{S}} \widehat{b*c}(\eta)\,\langle \varphi, \mathcal{W}(\eta)\psi\rangle_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^*}\,\mathrm{d}\,\eta = \int_{\mathfrak{S}} \widehat{b*c}(\eta)\,w(\eta)\,\mathrm{d}\,\eta \\
&= \int_{\mathfrak{S}} (b*c)(\eta)\,\widehat{w}(-\eta)\,\mathrm{d}\,\eta \\
&= \int_{\mathfrak{S}} \left(\int_{\mathfrak{S}} b(\xi)\,c(\eta-\xi)\,\mathrm{d}\,\xi\right)\widehat{w}(-\eta)\,\mathrm{d}\,\eta \\
&= \int_{\mathfrak{S}} b(\xi)\left(\int_{\mathfrak{S}} c(\eta-\xi)\,\widehat{w}(-\eta)\,\mathrm{d}\,\eta\right)\mathrm{d}\,\xi \\
&= \int_{\mathfrak{S}} b(\xi)\left(\int_{\mathfrak{S}} (T_\xi c)(\eta)\,\widehat{w}(-\eta)\,\mathrm{d}\,\eta\right)\mathrm{d}\,\xi \\
&= \int_{\mathfrak{S}} b(\xi)\left(\int_{\mathfrak{S}} \widehat{T_\xi c}(\eta)\,w(\eta)\,\mathrm{d}\,\eta\right)\mathrm{d}\,\xi \\
&= \int_{\mathfrak{S}} b(\xi)\left(\int_{\mathfrak{S}} \widehat{T_\xi c}(\eta)\,\langle \varphi, \mathcal{W}(\eta)\psi\rangle_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^*}\,\mathrm{d}\,\eta\right)\mathrm{d}\,\xi \\
&= \int_{\mathfrak{S}} b(\xi)\,\langle \varphi, (T_\xi c)(R)\psi\rangle_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^*}\,\mathrm{d}\,\xi \\
&= \int_{\mathfrak{S}} b(\xi)\,\langle \varphi, \mathcal{W}(\xi)\,c(R)\,\mathcal{W}(-\xi)\,\psi\rangle_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^*}\,\mathrm{d}\,\xi,
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we used the formula $(T_\xi c)(R) = \mathcal{W}(\xi)\,c(R)\,\mathcal{W}(-\xi)$.

Let $c \in \mathcal{S}^*(\mathfrak{S})$ and let $\{c_j\} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{S})$ be such that $c_j \to c$ weakly in $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathfrak{S})$. The uniform boundedness principle and Peetre's inequality imply that

- $\langle \varphi, \mathcal{W}(\xi)\,a_j(R)\,\mathcal{W}(-\xi)\,\psi\rangle_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^*} \to \langle \varphi, \mathcal{W}(\xi)\,a(R)\,\mathcal{W}(-\xi)\,\psi\rangle_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^*}, \quad \xi \in \mathfrak{S}$.
- There are $M \in \mathbb{N}$, $C = C(M,w) > 0$ such that

  $$\left|\langle \varphi, \mathcal{W}(\xi)\,c_j(R)\,\mathcal{W}(-\xi)\,\psi\rangle_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^*}\right| \le C\,\langle\xi\rangle^M, \quad \xi \in \mathfrak{S},$$

  where $\langle\xi\rangle = \left(1+|\xi|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $|\cdot|$ is an euclidean norm on $\mathfrak{S}$.

The general case can be deduced from the above case if we observe that

$$\langle \varphi, (b*c_j)(R)\psi\rangle_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^*} \to \langle \varphi, (b*c)(R)\psi\rangle_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^*},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle \overline{\langle \varphi, \mathcal{W}(\cdot)\,b(R)\,\mathcal{W}(-\cdot)\,\psi\rangle}_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^*}, c_j\right\rangle_{\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{S}),\mathcal{S}^*(\mathfrak{S})} \\
&\qquad\qquad \to \left\langle \overline{\langle \varphi, \mathcal{W}(\cdot)\,b(R)\,\mathcal{W}(-\cdot)\,\psi\rangle}_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^*}, c\right\rangle_{\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{S}),\mathcal{S}^*(\mathfrak{S})}
\end{aligned}$$

and that the sequence $\left\{b(\cdot)\,\langle \varphi, \mathcal{W}(\cdot)\,c_j(R)\,\mathcal{W}(-\cdot)\,\psi\rangle_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^*}\right\}$ converge dominated to $b(\cdot)\,\langle \varphi, \mathcal{W}(\cdot)\,c(R)\,\mathcal{W}(-\cdot)\,\psi\rangle_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^*}$.

(b) We recall the Young inequality. If $1 \le p,q \le \infty$, $p^{-1}+q^{-1} \ge 1$, $r^{-1} = p^{-1}+q^{-1}-1$, $b \in L^p(\mathfrak{S})$ and $c \in L^q(\mathfrak{S})$, then $b*c \in L^r(\mathfrak{S})$ and

$$\|b*c\|_{L^r(\mathfrak{S})} \le \|b\|_{L^p(\mathfrak{S})}\,\|c\|_{L^q(\mathfrak{S})}.$$

Let $p', r' \geq 1$ such that $p^{-1} + p'^{-1} = r^{-1} + r'^{-1} = 1$. Then $r'^{-1} + q^{-1} \geq 1$ and $p'^{-1} = r'^{-1} + q^{-1} - 1$.

If $b \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{S})$ and $c \in L^q(\mathfrak{S})$, then $g = h(P_{\mathfrak{S}})\, c \in \mathcal{S}^*(\mathfrak{S})$ and $h(P_{\mathfrak{S}})(b * c) = b * h(P_{\mathfrak{S}})\, c = b * g$. Using (a) it follows that

$$\langle \varphi, (b * g)(R)\, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^*} = \int_{\mathfrak{S}} b(\xi) \langle \varphi, \mathcal{W}(\xi)\, g(R)\, \mathcal{W}(-\xi)\, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^*} \,\mathrm{d}\,\xi.$$

Similarly, if $b \in L^p(\mathfrak{S}) \subset \mathcal{S}^*(\mathfrak{S})$ and $c \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{S})$, then $g = h(P_{\mathfrak{S}})\, c \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{S})$ and $h(P_{\mathfrak{S}})(b * c) = b * h(P_{\mathfrak{S}})\, c = b * g$. Using (a) again, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi, (b * g)(R)\, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^*} &= \left\langle \overline{\langle \varphi, \mathcal{W}(\cdot)\, g(R)\, \mathcal{W}(-\cdot)\, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^*}}, b \right\rangle_{\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{S}),\mathcal{S}^*(\mathfrak{S})} \\ &= \int_{\mathfrak{S}} b(\xi) \langle \varphi, \mathcal{W}(\xi)\, g(R)\, \mathcal{W}(-\xi)\, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^*} \,\mathrm{d}\,\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we proved (b) in the case when either $b \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{S})$ or $c \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{S})$.

The general case can be obtained from these particular cases by an approximation argument. Observe that condition $p^{-1} + q^{-1} \geq 1$ implies that $p < \infty$ or $q < \infty$. For the convergence of the left-hand side of (3.2) we use the Young inequality and the continuity of the map Op in Corollary 2.2. To estimate right-hand side of (3.2) we use (3.1) and the Hölder and Young inequalities. We have

$$\langle \varphi, \mathcal{W}(\xi)\, (h(P_{\mathfrak{S}})\, c)(R)\, \mathcal{W}(-\xi)\, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^*} = (h(P_{\mathfrak{S}})\, c * \widehat{w})(-\xi) = \left(c * \widehat{hw} \right)(-\xi)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \left\langle \varphi, \left(\int_{\mathfrak{S}} b(\xi)\, \mathcal{W}(\xi)\, (h(P_{\mathfrak{S}})\, c)(R)\, \mathcal{W}(-\xi) \,\mathrm{d}\,\xi \right) \psi \right\rangle_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^*} \right| \\ &\qquad\qquad = \left| \left(\int_{\mathfrak{S}} b(\xi) \left(c * \widehat{hw} \right)(-\xi) \,\mathrm{d}\,\xi \right) \right| \\ &\qquad\qquad \leq \|b\|_{L^p(\mathfrak{S})} \left\| c * \widehat{hw} \right\|_{L^{p'}(\mathfrak{S})} \\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad \leq \|b\|_{L^p(\mathfrak{S})} \|c\|_{L^q(\mathfrak{S})} \left\| \widehat{hw} \right\|_{L^{r'}(\mathfrak{S})}, \end{aligned}$$

where $w = w_{\varphi,\psi} = \langle \varphi, \mathcal{W}(\cdot)\psi \rangle_{\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}^*} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{S})$. ∎

Corollary 3.3. *Let* $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{W})$ *be a Weyl system associated to the symplectic space* $\mathfrak{S}$. *If* $b \in L^p(\mathfrak{S})$ *and* $c \in L^q(\mathfrak{S})$, *where* $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ *and* $p^{-1} + q^{-1} \geq 1$, *then* $b * c \in L^r(\mathfrak{S})$, $r^{-1} = p^{-1} + q^{-1} - 1$ *and*

$$(b * c)(R) = \int_{\mathfrak{S}} b(\xi)\, \mathcal{W}(\xi)\, c(R)\, \mathcal{W}(-\xi) \,\mathrm{d}\,\xi,$$

where the integral is weakly absolutely convergent.

If $\mathfrak{S} = T^*(X) = X \times X^*$ *and* τ *an endomorphism of* X, *then we have*

$$(b * c)_X^{\tau}(R) = \int_{\mathfrak{S}} b(\xi)\, \mathcal{W}(\xi)\, c_X^{\tau}(R)\, \mathcal{W}(-\xi) \,\mathrm{d}\,\xi,$$

where the integral is weakly absolutely convergent.

Proof. We take $h = e^{i\theta_{X,\frac{1}{2}-\tau}(\cdot)}$ in the previous lemma. ∎

4. KATO'S OPERATOR CALCULUS

In [10], H.O. Cordes noticed that the L^2-boundedness of an operator $a\left(x,D\right)$ in $OPS^0_{0,0}$ could be deduced by a synthesis of $a\left(x,D\right)=a\left(R\right)$ from trace-class operators. In [19], T. Kato extended this argument to the general case $OPS^0_{\rho,\rho}$, $0<\rho<1$, and abstracted the functional analysis involved in Cordes' argument. This operator calculus can be extended further to investigate the Schatten-class properties of pseudo-differential operators in $OPS^0_{0,0}$.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a separable Hilbert space. For $1\leq p<\infty$, we denote by $\mathcal{B}_p\left(\mathcal{H}\right)$ the Scatten ideal of compact operators on $\mathcal{H}$ whose singular values lie in l^p with the associated norm $\left\|\cdot\right\|_p$. For $p=\infty$, $\mathcal{B}_\infty\left(\mathcal{H}\right)$ is the ideal of compact operators on $\mathcal{H}$ with $\left\|\cdot\right\|_\infty=\left\|\cdot\right\|$.

Definition 4.1. *Let $T,A,B\in\mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{H}\right)$, $A\geq 0$, $B\geq 0$. We write*

$$T\ll\left(A;B\right)\overset{def}{\Longleftrightarrow}\left|\left(u,Tv\right)\right|^2\leq\left(u,Au\right)\left(v,Bv\right),\quad \textit{for } u,v\in\mathcal{H}.$$

Lemma 4.2. *Let $S,T,A,B\in\mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{H}\right)$, $A\geq 0$, $B\geq 0$. Then*

(i) $T\ll\left(\left|T^*\right|;\left|T\right|\right)$.

(ii) $T\ll\left(A;B\right)\Rightarrow T^*\ll\left(B;A\right)$.

(iii) $T\ll\left(A;B\right)\Rightarrow S^*TS\ll\left(S^*AS;S^*BS\right)$.

(iv) *Let $\left\{T_j\right\},\left\{A_j\right\},\left\{B_j\right\}\subset\mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{H}\right)$, $A_j\geq 0$, $B_j\geq 0$, $j=1,2,\ldots$. If $T_j\ll\left(A_j;B_j\right)$, $j=1,2,\ldots$, then*

$$\sum T_j\ll\left(\sum A_j;\sum B_j\right)$$

in the sense that whenever the series $\sum A_j$ and $\sum B_j$ converge in the strong sense, the same is true for the serie $\sum T_j$ and the inequality holds.

Proof. (i) If $T\geq 0$, then $T=\left|T\right|=\left|T^*\right|$ and

$$\left|\left(u,Tv\right)\right|^2=\left|\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}}u,T^{\frac{1}{2}}v\right)\right|^2\leq\left\|T^{\frac{1}{2}}u\right\|^2\left\|T^{\frac{1}{2}}v\right\|^2=\left(u,Tu\right)\left(v,Tv\right),\quad u,v\in\mathcal{H}.$$

In the general case, $T\in\mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{H}\right)$, we shall use the polar decomposition of A. Let $T=V\left|T\right|$ with $\left|T\right|=\left(T^*T\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$and $V\in\mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{H}\right)$ a partial isometry such that $\operatorname{Ker}V=\operatorname{Ker}T$. Then V^*V is the projection onto the initial space of V, $\left(\operatorname{Ker}V\right)^\perp$ $(=\left(\operatorname{Ker}T\right)^\perp=\overline{\operatorname{Ran}T^*})$. It follows that $\left|T^*\right|=V\left|T\right|V^*$ since $T^*=V^*VT^*$, $V\left|T\right|V^*\geq 0$ and

$$\left(V\left|T\right|V^*\right)^2=V\left|T\right|V^*V\left|T\right|V^*=TV^*VT^*=TT^*=\left|T^*\right|^2.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\left(u,Tv\right)\right|^2 &= \left|\left(u,V\left|T\right|v\right)\right|^2=\left|\left(V^*u,\left|T\right|v\right)\right|^2\leq\left(V^*u,\left|T\right|V^*u\right)\left(v,\left|T\right|v\right)\\ &= \left(u,\left|T^*\right|u\right)\left(v,\left|T\right|v\right),\quad u,v\in\mathcal{H}.\end{aligned}$$

(ii), (iii) are obvious.

(iv) Assume that there are $A,B\in\mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{H}\right)$ such that $A=s-\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{j=1}^n A_j$, $B=s-\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{j=1}^n B_j$. For $n\geq 1$, we set $A\left(n\right)=\sum_{j=1}^n A_j$, $B\left(n\right)=\sum_{j=1}^n B_j$, $T\left(n\right)=\sum_{j=1}^n T_j$ and for $n=0$, we set $A\left(0\right)=B\left(0\right)=T\left(0\right)=0$. Since $A=s-\lim_{n\to\infty}A\left(n\right)$ by the uniform boundedness principle there is a constant $C>0$ such that $\left\|A\left(n\right)\right\|\leq C$ for all n in $\mathbb{N}$.

Let $m > n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(u, \left(T\left(m\right) - T\left(n\right)\right)v\right)\right| &\leq \sum_{j=n+1}^{m} \left|\left(u, T_j v\right)\right| \leq \sum_{j=n+1}^{m} \left(u, A_j u\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(v, B_j v\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{j=n+1}^{m} \left(u, A_j u\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{j=n+1}^{m} \left(v, B_j v\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= \left(u, \left(A\left(m\right) - A\left(n\right)\right)u\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(v, \left(B\left(m\right) - B\left(n\right)\right)v\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \left(u, A\left(m\right)u\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(v, \left(B\left(m\right) - B\left(n\right)\right)v\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\|u\right\| \left\|v\right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\|\left(B\left(m\right) - B\left(n\right)\right)v\right\|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad u, v \in \mathcal{H}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\left\|T\left(m\right)v - T\left(n\right)v\right\| \leq C^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\|v\right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\|\left(B\left(m\right) - B\left(n\right)\right)v\right\|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad v \in \mathcal{H},$$

which implies that $\{T\left(n\right)v\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for any $v \in \mathcal{H}$, so there is $T \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{H}\right)$ such that $T = s - \lim_{n\to\infty} T\left(n\right)$. By passing to the limit in the estimate

$$\left|\left(u, T\left(m\right)v\right)\right| \leq \left(u, A\left(m\right)u\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(v, B\left(m\right)v\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad u, v \in \mathcal{H},$$

we conclude that $T \ll (A; B)$. ∎

Lemma 4.3. *Let* Y *be a measure space and* $Y \ni y \to U\left(y\right) \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{H}\right)$ *a weakly measurable map.*

(a) *Assume that there is* $C > 0$ *such that*

$$\int_Y \left|\left(\varphi, U\left(y\right)\psi\right)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}\, y \leq C \left\|\varphi\right\|^2 \left\|\psi\right\|^2, \quad \varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{H}.$$

If $b \in L^{\infty}\left(Y\right)$ *and* $G \in \mathcal{B}_1\left(\mathcal{H}\right)$, *then the integral*

$$b\left\{G\right\} = \int_Y b\left(y\right) U\left(y\right)^* G U\left(y\right) \mathrm{d}\, y$$

is weakly absolutely convergent and defines a bounded operator such that

$$\left\|b\left\{G\right\}\right\| \leq C \left\|b\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \left\|G\right\|_1.$$

(b) *Assume that there is* $C > 0$ *such that*

$$\left\|U\left(y\right)\right\| \leq C^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \textit{a.e. } y \in Y.$$

If $b \in L^1\left(Y\right)$ *and* $G \in \mathcal{B}_1\left(\mathcal{H}\right)$, *then the integral*

$$b\left\{G\right\} = \int_Y b\left(y\right) U\left(y\right)^* G U\left(y\right) \mathrm{d}\, y$$

is absolutely convergent and defines a trace class operator such that

$$\left\|b\left\{G\right\}\right\|_1 \leq C \left\|b\right\|_{L^1} \left\|G\right\|_1.$$

(c) *Assume that there is* $C > 0$ *such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_Y \left|\left(\varphi, U\left(y\right)\psi\right)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}\, y &\leq C \left\|\varphi\right\|^2 \left\|\psi\right\|^2, \quad \varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{H} \quad \textit{and} \\
\left\|U\left(y\right)\right\| &\leq C^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \textit{a.e. } y \in Y.
\end{aligned}$$

If $b \in L^p(Y)$ *with* $1 \le p < \infty$ *and* $G \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$, *then the integral*

$$b\{G\} = \int_Y b(y)\, U(y)^* G U(y)\, \mathrm{d}\, y$$

is weakly absolutely convergent and defines an operator $b\{G\}$ *in* $\mathcal{B}_p(\mathcal{H})$ *which satisfies*

$$\|b\{G\}\|_p \le C \|b\|_{L^p} \|G\|_1 .$$

Proof. (a) We do this in several steps.

Step 1. Suppose $G \ge 0$. Write $G = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \left|\varphi_j\right)\left(\varphi_j\right| = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \left(\varphi_j, \cdot\right) \varphi_j$ with $\{\varphi_j\}$ an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}$, $\lambda_j \ge 0$, $\operatorname{Tr}(G) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j| = \|G\|_1$. Then

$$(U(y)\varphi, GU(y)\psi) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \left(U(y)\varphi, \varphi_j\right)\left(\varphi_j, U(y)\psi\right), \quad y \in Y,\ \varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{H}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
|(\varphi, B\psi)| &= \left|\int_Y b(y)\,(U(y)\varphi, GU(y)\psi)\,\mathrm{d}\, y\right| \\
&\le \|b\|_{L^\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \int_Y \left|\left(U(y)\varphi, \varphi_j\right)\left(\varphi_j, U(y)\psi\right)\right| \mathrm{d}\, y \\
&\le \|b\|_{L^\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \left(\int_Y \left|\left(U(y)\varphi, \varphi_j\right)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}\, y\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_Y \left|\left(\varphi_j, U(y)\psi\right)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}\, y\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\le \|b\|_{L^\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j C^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\varphi\| \left\|\varphi_j\right\| C^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\psi\| \left\|\varphi_j\right\| \\
&= C \|b\|_{L^\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j\right) \|\varphi\| \|\psi\| = C \|b\|_{L^\infty} \|G\|_1 \|\varphi\| \|\psi\|, \quad \varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{H}.
\end{aligned}$$

Step 2. The general case, $G \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$, can be reduced to the above case by using Lemma 4.2 and the Schwarz inequality to evaluate the integrand. We have $U(y)^* GU(y) \ll \left(U(y)^* |G^*| U(y); U(y)^* |G| U(y)\right)$ and

$$|b(y)(U(y)\varphi, GU(y)\psi)| \le \|b\|_{L^\infty} (U(y)\varphi, |G^*| U(y)\varphi)^{\frac{1}{2}} (U(y)\psi, |G| U(y)\psi)^{\frac{1}{2}} .$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
|(\varphi, b\{G\}\psi)| &= \left|\int_Y b(y)\,(U(y)\varphi, GU(y)\psi)\,\mathrm{d}\, y\right| \\
&\le \|b\|_{L^\infty} \left(\int_Y (U(y)\varphi, |G^*| U(y)\varphi)\,\mathrm{d}\, y\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_Y (U(y)\psi, |G| U(y)\psi)\,\mathrm{d}\, y\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\le \|b\|_{L^\infty} C^{\frac{1}{2}} \||G^*|\|_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\varphi\| C^{\frac{1}{2}} \||G|\|_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\psi\| \\
&= C \|b\|_{L^\infty} \|G\|_1 \|\varphi\| \|\psi\|, \quad \varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{H}.
\end{aligned}$$

(b) Let $\{\psi_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in I}$ and $\{\varphi_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in I}$ be two orthonormal systems in $\mathcal{H}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\alpha\in I}\left|\left(\psi_\alpha, b\left\{G\right\}\varphi_\alpha\right)\right| &\leq \sum_{\alpha\in I}\int_Y \left|b\left(y\right)\right|\left|\left(\psi_\alpha, U\left(y\right)^* GU\left(y\right)\varphi_\alpha\right)\right| \mathrm{d}\,y\\ &= \int_Y \left|b\left(y\right)\right|\sum_{\alpha\in I}\left|\left(\psi_\alpha, U\left(y\right)^* GU\left(y\right)\varphi_\alpha\right)\right| \mathrm{d}\,y\\ &\leq \int_Y \left|b\left(y\right)\right|\left\|U\left(y\right)^* GU\left(y\right)\right\|_1 \mathrm{d}\,y\\ &\leq \int_Y \left|b\left(y\right)\right|\left\|U\left(y\right)\right\|^2\left\|G\right\|_1 \mathrm{d}\,y\\ &\leq C\left\|G\right\|_1\int_Y \left|b\left(y\right)\right|\mathrm{d}\,y = C\left\|b\right\|_{L^1}\left\|G\right\|_1 < +\infty.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{\alpha\in I}\left|\left(\psi_\alpha, b\left\{G\right\}\varphi_\alpha\right)\right| \leq C\left\|b\right\|_{L^1}\left\|G\right\|_1 < +\infty$$

for any orthonormal systems $\{\psi_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in I}$, $\{\varphi_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in I}$. We obtain that $b\left\{G\right\}\in\mathcal{B}_1\left(\mathcal{H}\right)$ and

$$\left\|b\left\{G\right\}\right\|_1 \leq C\left\|b\right\|_{L^1}\left\|G\right\|_1.$$

See [3, p.246-247, Theorems 3 and 4]

(c) is a consequence of (a) and (b) since the p-Schatten classes interpolate like L^p-spaces: $\lfloor\mathcal{B}_1\left(\mathcal{H}\right),\mathcal{B}_\infty\left(\mathcal{H}\right)\rfloor_\theta = \lfloor\mathcal{B}_1\left(\mathcal{H}\right),\mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{H}\right)\rfloor_\theta = \mathcal{B}_{\frac{1}{1-\theta}}\left(\mathcal{H}\right)$, $0<\theta<1$, (see [24, p.147]).

We now give a direct elementary proof of this part. Let $\{\psi_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in I}$ and $\{\varphi_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in I}$ be two orthonormal systems in $\mathcal{H}$. Then by Hölder inequality and part (a):

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\alpha\in I}\left|\left(\psi_\alpha, b\left\{G\right\}\varphi_\alpha\right)\right|^p &\leq \sum_{\alpha\in I}\left(\int_Y \left|b\left(y\right)\right|\left|\left(\psi_\alpha, U\left(y\right)^* GU\left(y\right)\varphi_\alpha\right)\right| \mathrm{d}\,y\right)^p\\ \leq \sum_{\alpha\in I}\left(\int_Y \left|\left(\psi_\alpha, U\left(y\right)^* GU\left(y\right)\varphi_\alpha\right)\right| \mathrm{d}\,y\right)^{\frac{p}{q}} &\int_Y \left|b\left(y\right)\right|^p\left|\left(\psi_\alpha, U\left(y\right)^* GU\left(y\right)\varphi_\alpha\right)\right| \mathrm{d}\,y\\ &\leq \left(C\left\|G\right\|_1\right)^{\frac{p}{q}}\int_Y \left|b\left(y\right)\right|^p\sum_{\alpha\in I}\left|\left(\psi_\alpha, U\left(y\right)^* GU\left(y\right)\varphi_\alpha\right)\right| \mathrm{d}\,y\\ &\leq \left(C\left\|G\right\|_1\right)^{\frac{p}{q}}\int_Y \left|b\left(y\right)\right|^p\left\|U\left(y\right)^* GU\left(y\right)\right\|_1 \mathrm{d}\,y\\ &\leq \left(C\left\|G\right\|_1\right)^{\frac{p}{q}+1}\int_Y \left|b\left(y\right)\right|^p \mathrm{d}\,y = \left(C\left\|G\right\|_1\right)^{\frac{p}{q}+1}\left\|b\right\|_{L^p}^p < +\infty.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\left(\sum_{\alpha\in I}\left|\left(\psi_\alpha, b\left\{G\right\}\varphi_\alpha\right)\right|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C\left\|G\right\|_1\left\|b\right\|_{L^p} < +\infty$$

for any orthonormal systems $\{\psi_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in I}$, $\{\varphi_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in I}$. Thus, by using Proposition 2.6 of Simon [22], we conclude that $b\left\{G\right\}\in\mathcal{B}_p\left(\mathcal{H}\right)$ and

$$\left\|b\left\{G\right\}\right\|_p \leq C\left\|b\right\|_{L^p}\left\|G\right\|_1.$$

∎

Lemma 4.4. *Let $(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{W})$ be an irreducible Weyl system associated to the symplectic space $\mathfrak{S}$. Then for any φ,ψ in $\mathcal{H}$ the map*

$$\mathfrak{S}\ni\xi\to(\varphi,\mathcal{W}(\xi)\psi)\in\mathbb{C},$$

belongs to $L^2(\mathfrak{S})\cap\mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathfrak{S})$ and

$$\int_{\mathfrak{S}}|(\varphi,\mathcal{W}(\xi)\psi)|^2\,\mathrm{d}\,\xi=\|\varphi\|^2\|\psi\|^2\quad\textit{and}\quad\|(\varphi,\mathcal{W}(\cdot)\psi)\|_\infty\le\|\varphi\|\,\|\psi\|.$$

For $\varphi,\psi,\varphi',\psi'\in\mathcal{H}$ we have

$$\int_{\mathfrak{S}}\overline{(\varphi',\mathcal{W}(\xi)\psi')}\,(\varphi,\mathcal{W}(\xi)\psi)\,\mathrm{d}\,\xi=(\varphi,\varphi')\,(\psi',\psi).$$

Proof. Since a symplectic space has only one irreducible representation (modulo unitary equivalence), we may assume that $\mathfrak{S}=T^*(X)$ and that $(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{W})$ is the Schrödinger representation $(\mathcal{H}(X),\mathcal{W})$. If $\varphi,\psi\in\mathcal{H}(X)=L^2(X,\mathrm{d}\,x)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}(\varphi,\mathcal{W}(x,p)\psi)&=\int_X\overline{\varphi(y)}e^{i\langle y-x/2,p\rangle}\psi(y-x)\,\mathrm{d}\,y\\&=e^{-\frac{i}{2}\langle x,p\rangle}\overline{\mathcal{F}}_X(\overline{\varphi}T_x\psi)(p),\end{aligned}$$

where $T_x\psi(\cdot)=\psi(\cdot-x)$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathfrak{S}}|(\varphi,\mathcal{W}(\xi)\psi)|^2\,\mathrm{d}\,\xi&=\iint_{X\times X^*}|(\varphi,\mathcal{W}(x,p)\psi)|^2\,\mathrm{d}\,x\,\mathrm{d}\,p\\&=\int_X\left\|\overline{\mathcal{F}}_X(\overline{\varphi}T_x\psi)\right\|^2_{L^2(X^*)}\mathrm{d}\,x=\int_X\|\overline{\varphi}T_x\psi\|^2_{L^2(X)}\,\mathrm{d}\,x\\&=\int_X\left(\int_X\left|\overline{\varphi(y)}\psi(y-x)\right|^2\mathrm{d}\,y\right)\mathrm{d}\,x\\&=\int_X\left(\int_X\left|\overline{\varphi(y)}\psi(y-x)\right|^2\mathrm{d}\,x\right)\mathrm{d}\,y=\|\varphi\|^2\|\psi\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Let $\{\varphi_n\},\{\psi_n\}\subset\mathcal{S}(X)$ such that $\varphi_n\to\varphi$ and $\psi_n\to\psi$ in $\mathcal{H}(X)$. Since $\{(\varphi_n,\mathcal{W}(\cdot)\psi_n)\}\subset\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{S})$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\varphi_n,\mathcal{W}(\cdot)\psi_n)-(\varphi,\mathcal{W}(\cdot)\psi)\|_\infty&=\|(\varphi_n-\varphi,\mathcal{W}(\cdot)\psi_n)+(\varphi,\mathcal{W}(\cdot)(\psi_n-\psi))\|\\&\le\|\varphi_n-\varphi\|\,\|\psi_n\|+\|\varphi\|\,\|\psi_n-\psi\|,\quad n\in\mathbb{N},\end{aligned}$$

it follows that $(\varphi_n,\mathcal{W}(\cdot)\psi_n)\to(\varphi,\mathcal{W}(\cdot)\psi)$ in $\mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathfrak{S})$ as $n\to\infty$. Hence $(\varphi,\mathcal{W}(\cdot)\psi)\in L^2(\mathfrak{S})\cap\mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathfrak{S})$, $\|(\varphi,\mathcal{W}(\cdot)\psi)\|^2_{L^2(\mathfrak{S})}=\|\varphi\|^2\|\psi\|^2$ and $\|(\varphi,\mathcal{W}(\cdot)\psi)\|_\infty\le\|\varphi\|\,\|\psi\|$. The last formula is a consequence of polarization identity. ∎

Theorem 4.5. *Let $(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{W})$ be an irreducible Weyl system associated to the symplectic space $\mathfrak{S}$.*

(a) *If $b\in L^\infty(\mathfrak{S})$ and $G\in\mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$, then the integral*

$$b\{G\}=\int_{\mathfrak{S}}b(\xi)\,\mathcal{W}(\xi)\,G\mathcal{W}(-\xi)\,\mathrm{d}\,\xi$$

is weakly absolutely convergent and defines a bounded operator such that

$$\|b\{G\}\|\le\|b\|_{L^\infty}\|G\|_1.$$

Moreover, if b vanishes at ∞ in the sense that for any $\varepsilon>0$ there is a compact subset K of $\mathfrak{S}$ such that

$$\|b\|_{L^\infty(\mathfrak{S}\setminus K)}\le\varepsilon,$$

then $b\{G\}$ *is a compact operator.*

The mapping $(b,G)\to b\{G\}$ *has the following properties.*

(i) $b\geq 0, G\geq 0\Rightarrow b\{G\}\geq 0.$

(ii) $1\{G\}=\operatorname{Tr}(G)\operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{H}}.$

(iii) $(b_1b_2)\{G\}\ll\left(|b_1|^2\{|G^*|\};|b_2|^2\{|G|\}\right).$

(b) *If* $b\in L^p(\mathfrak{S})$ *with* $1\leq p<\infty$ *and* $G\in\mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$, *then the integral*

$$b\{G\}=\int_{\mathfrak{S}}b(\xi)\,\mathcal{W}(\xi)\,G\mathcal{W}(-\xi)\,\mathrm{d}\,\xi$$

is weakly absolutely convergent and defines an operator $b\{G\}$ *in* $\mathcal{B}_p(\mathcal{H})$ *which satisfies*

$$\|b\{G\}\|_p\leq\|b\|_{L^p}\|G\|_1\,.$$

Proof. (ii) Let $G=|\varphi)(\psi|=(\psi,\cdot)\,\varphi$, $\varphi,\psi\in\mathcal{H}$. Then

$$\mathcal{W}(\xi)\,G\mathcal{W}(-\xi)=|\mathcal{W}(\xi)\,\varphi)(\mathcal{W}(\xi)\,\psi|$$

and

$$\int_{\mathfrak{S}}(u,\mathcal{W}(\xi)\,\varphi)\,(\mathcal{W}(\xi)\,\psi,v)\,\mathrm{d}\,\xi=(\psi,\varphi)\,(u,v)=(u,\operatorname{Tr}(|\varphi)(\psi|)\,v)\,.$$

So the equality holds for operators of rank 1. Next we extend this equality by linearty and continuity.

(iii) We have $\mathcal{W}(\xi)\,G\mathcal{W}(-\xi)\ll(\mathcal{W}(\xi)\,|G^*|\,\mathcal{W}(-\xi)\,;\mathcal{W}(\xi)\,|G|\,\mathcal{W}(-\xi))$ which gives

$$\begin{aligned}&|b_1(\xi)\,b_2(\xi)\,(\varphi,\mathcal{W}(\xi)\,G\mathcal{W}(-\xi)\,\psi)|\\&\leq\left(|b_1(\xi)|^2(\varphi,\mathcal{W}(\xi)\,|G^*|\,\mathcal{W}(-\xi)\,\varphi)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(|b_2(\xi)|^2(\psi,\mathcal{W}(\xi)\,|G|\,\mathcal{W}(-\xi)\,\psi)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

Now we just use the Schwarz inequality to conclude that (iii) is true. ∎

5. Some special symbols

To apply Theorem 4.5 in combination with Corollary 3.3, we need some special symbols g for which $g_X^\tau(R)$ has an extension $G\in\mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$. Such symbols have been constructed by Cordes [10].

Let $(E,|\cdot|)$ be an euclidean space. If $x\in E$,we set $\langle x\rangle=\left(1+|x|^2\right)^{1/2}$. Sometimes, in order to avoid confusions, we shall add a subscript specifying the space, e.g. $(\cdot,\cdot)_E$, $|\cdot|_E$ or $\langle\cdot\rangle_E$.

Let $\mathfrak{S}=T^*(X)$ with the standard symplectic structure and $(X,|\cdot|_X)$ an euclidean space. We shall work in the Schrödinger representation $(\mathcal{H}(X),\mathcal{W})$.

Let $s>0$. Then $(1-\triangle_X)^{\frac{s}{2}}=\mathcal{F}_X^{-1}M_{\langle\cdot\rangle^{\frac{s}{2}}_{X^*}}\mathcal{F}_X=a_X^0(Q,P)$, where $a(x,p)=\langle p\rangle^{\frac{s}{2}}_{X^*}$, $(x,p)\in X\times X^*$. Let $\psi_s=\psi_s^X$ be the unique solution within $\mathcal{S}^*(X)$ for

$$(1-\triangle_X)^{\frac{s}{2}}\,\psi_s=\delta.$$

Similarly, $(1-\triangle_{X^*})^{\frac{s}{2}}=\mathcal{F}_{X^*}^{-1}M_{\langle\cdot\rangle^{\frac{s}{2}}_X}\mathcal{F}_{X^*}$. We shall denote by $\chi_s=\chi_s^{X^*}$ the unique solution in $\mathcal{S}^*(X^*)$ for

$$(1-\triangle_{X^*})^{\frac{s}{2}}\,\chi_s=\delta.$$

Let $n=\dim X$. We recall that $\psi_s\in L^1(X)\cap\mathcal{C}^\infty(X\setminus\{0\})$, $\psi_s(x)$ and its derivatives decay exponentially as $|x|\to\infty$, and that $\partial^\alpha\psi_s(x)=O\left(1+|x|_X^{s-n-|\alpha|}\right)$ as $|x|\to 0$, except when $s-n-|\alpha|=0$ in which case we have $\partial^\alpha\psi_s(x)=O\left(1+\log\frac{1}{|x|_X}\right)$. χ_s has similar properties.

Lemma 5.1 (Cordes [10])**.** *Let* $t,s>\frac{n}{2}$ *and*

$$g:X\times X^*\to\mathbb{R},\quad g(x,p)=\psi_t(x)\,\chi_s(p),\quad (x,p)\in X\times X^*,$$

i.e. $g=\psi_t\otimes\chi_s$. *Then* $g_X^0(Q,P)$ *has an extension* $G\in\mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H}(X))$. *Moreover, for any* $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n$, $g_X^0(Q,P)\,P_\alpha$ *has an extension* $G_\alpha\in\mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H}(X))$.

Lemma 5.2 (Cordes [10])**.** *Let* $t,s>n$ *and*

$$g:X\times X^*\to\mathbb{R},\quad g(x,p)=\psi_t(x)\,\chi_s(p),\quad (x,p)\in X\times X^*,$$

i.e. $g=\psi_t\otimes\chi_s$. *If* $\tau\in\mathbb{R}\equiv\mathbb{R}\cdot 1_X$, *then* $g_X^\tau(Q,P)$ *has an extension in* $\mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H}(X))$ *denoted also by* $g_X^\tau(Q,P)$. *The mapping*

$$\mathbb{R}\ni\tau\to g_X^\tau(Q,P)\in\mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H}(X))$$

is continuous.

For the proof of these two lemmas see [10].

Let $X=X_1\oplus...\oplus X_k$ be an orthogonal decomposition with the canonical injections $j_1:X_1\hookrightarrow X$,...,$j_k:X_k\hookrightarrow X$ and $\pi_1:X\to X_1$,...,$\pi_k:X\to X_k$ the orthogonal projections. Then $X^*=X_1^*\oplus...\oplus X_k^*$ is an orthogonal decomposition with $\pi_1^*:X_1^*\hookrightarrow X^*$,...,$\pi_k^*:X_k^*\hookrightarrow X^*$ as the canonical injections and $j_1^*:X^*\to X_1^*$,...,$j_k^*:X^*\to X_k^*$ as the orthogonal projections.

The mapping

$$\begin{gathered}J:X\times X^*\to(X_1\times X_1^*)\times...\times(X_k\times X_k^*),\\ J(x,p)=((\pi_1x,j_1^*p),...,(\pi_kx,j_k^*p))\end{gathered}$$

is an isometry with the inverse given by

$$\begin{gathered}J^{-1}:(X_1\times X_1^*)\times...\times(X_k\times X_k^*)\to X\times X^*,\\ J^{-1}((x_1,p_1),...,(x_k,p_k))=(x_1+...+x_k,p_1+...+p_k).\end{gathered}$$

If $a_1\in\mathcal{S}^*(X_1\times X_1^*)$,..., $a_k\in\mathcal{S}^*(X_k\times X_k^*)$, then

$$a=(a_1\otimes...\otimes a_k)\circ J\in\mathcal{S}^*(X\times X^*)$$

and

$$a_X^\tau(Q,P)=(a_1)_{X_1}^\tau(Q_1,P_1)\otimes...\otimes(a_k)_{X_k}^\tau(Q_k,P_k),$$

where $Q=(Q_1,...,Q_k)$ and $P=(P_1,...,P_k)$.

Let $s_1,...,s_k>0$ and $\mathbf{s}=(s_1,...,s_k)$. Then $\psi_{\mathbf{s}}=\psi_{s_1}^{X_1}\otimes...\otimes\psi_{s_k}^{X_k}\in\mathcal{S}^*(X)$ is the unique solution within $\mathcal{S}^*(X)$ for

$$(1-\triangle_{X_1})^{\frac{s_1}{2}}\otimes...\otimes(1-\triangle_{X_k})^{\frac{s_k}{2}}\psi=\delta.$$

Similarly, $\chi_{\mathbf{s}}=\chi_{s_1}^{X_1^*}\otimes...\otimes\chi_{s_k}^{X_k^*}\in\mathcal{S}^*(X^*)$ is the unique solution within $\mathcal{S}^*(X^*)$ for

$$\left(1-\triangle_{X_1^*}\right)^{\frac{s_1}{2}}\otimes...\otimes\left(1-\triangle_{X_k^*}\right)^{\frac{s_k}{2}}\chi=\delta.$$

For $\mathbf{t}=(t_1,...,t_k)$, $t_1,...,t_k>0$ and $\mathbf{s}=(s_1,...,s_k)$, $s_1,...,s_k>0$ we introduce the distributions $g=g_{\mathbf{t},\mathbf{s}}=\psi_{\mathbf{t}}\otimes\chi_{\mathbf{s}}\in\mathcal{S}^*(X\times X^*)$ and $g_j=g_{t_j,s_j}=\psi_{t_j}\otimes\chi_{s_j}\in\mathcal{S}^*\left(X_j\times X_j^*\right)$, for $j=1,...,k$. Then $g=(g_1\otimes...\otimes g_k)\circ J$ and

$$g_X^{\tau}(Q,P)=(g_1)_{X_1}^{\tau}(Q_1,P_1)\otimes...\otimes(g_k)_{X_k}^{\tau}(Q_k,P_k).$$

We recall that the mapping

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})\times\mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H}),\\ (A,B) &\rightarrow A\otimes B,\end{aligned}$$

is well defined and

$$\|A\otimes B\|_1=\|A\|_1\|B\|_1,\quad (A,B)\in\mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})\times\mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H}).$$

Corollary 5.3. (a) *Let* $\mathbf{t}=(t_1,...,t_k)$, $\mathbf{s}=(s_1,...,s_k)$ *such that* $t_1,s_1>\frac{\dim X_1}{4},...,t_k,s_k>\frac{\dim X_k}{4}$. *Let* $g=g_{2\mathbf{t},2\mathbf{s}}=\psi_{2\mathbf{t}}\otimes\chi_{2\mathbf{s}}\in\mathcal{S}^*(X\times X^*)$. *Then* $g_X^0(Q,P)$ *has an extension* $G\in\mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H}(X))$. *Moreover, for any* $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n$, $g_X^0(Q,P)P_\alpha$ *has an extension* $G_\alpha\in\mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H}(X))$.

(b) *Let* $\mathbf{t}=(t_1,...,t_k)$, $\mathbf{s}=(s_1,...,s_k)$ *such that* $t_1,s_1>\frac{\dim X_1}{4},...,t_k,s_k>\frac{\dim X_k}{4}$. *Let* $g=g_{4\mathbf{t},4\mathbf{s}}=\psi_{4\mathbf{t}}\otimes\chi_{4\mathbf{s}}\in\mathcal{S}^*(X\times X^*)$. *If* $\tau\in\mathbb{R}\equiv\mathbb{R}\cdot 1_X$, *then* $g_X^{\tau}(Q,P)$ *has an extension in* $\mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H}(X))$ *denoted also by* $g_X^{\tau}(Q,P)$. *The mapping*

$$\mathbb{R}\ni\tau\rightarrow g_X^{\tau}(Q,P)\in\mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H}(X))$$

is continuous.

6. Schatten-class properties of pseudo-differential operators

We are now able to consider L^2-boundedness and Schatten-class properties of certain pseudo-differential operators. In the notation of the previous section, $\mathfrak{S}$ will be $T^*(X)$ with the standard symplectic structure and $(X,|\cdot|_X)$ an euclidean space, $(\mathcal{H}(X),\mathcal{W})$ will be the Schrödinger representation associated to the symplectic space $\mathfrak{S}$. Let $X=X_1\oplus...\oplus X_k$ be an orthogonal decomposition and $X^*=X_1^*\oplus...\oplus X_k^*$ be the dual orthogonal decomposition.

Theorem 6.1. *Let* $a\in\mathcal{S}^*(\mathfrak{S})$ *and* $1\le p<\infty$.

(a) *Assume that there are* $\mathbf{t}=(t_1,...,t_k)$, $\mathbf{s}=(s_1,...,s_k)$ *such that* $t_1,s_1>\frac{\dim X_1}{4},...,t_k,s_k>\frac{\dim X_k}{4}$ *and*

$$b=(1-\triangle_{X_1})^{t_1}\otimes...\otimes(1-\triangle_{X_k})^{t_k}\otimes\left(1-\triangle_{X_1^*}\right)^{s_1}\otimes...\otimes\left(1-\triangle_{X_k^*}\right)^{s_k}a\in L^p(\mathfrak{S}).$$

Then $a_X^0(Q,P)$ *has an extension in* $\mathcal{B}_p(\mathcal{H}(X))$ *denoted also by* $a_X^0(Q,P)$ *and there is* $C>0$ *such that*

$$\left\|a_X^0(Q,P)\right\|_p\le C\|b\|_{L^p(\mathfrak{S})}.$$

(b) *Assume that there are* $\mathbf{t}=(t_1,...,t_k)$, $\mathbf{s}=(s_1,...,s_k)$ *such that* $t_1,s_1>\frac{\dim X_1}{4},...,t_k,s_k>\frac{\dim X_k}{4}$ *and*

$$c=(1-\triangle_{X_1})^{2t_1}\otimes...\otimes(1-\triangle_{X_k})^{2t_k}\otimes\left(1-\triangle_{X_1^*}\right)^{2s_1}\otimes...\otimes\left(1-\triangle_{X_k^*}\right)^{2s_k}a\in L^p(\mathfrak{S}).$$

If $\tau\in\mathbb{R}\equiv\mathbb{R}\cdot 1_X$, *then* $a_X^{\tau}(Q,P)$ *has an extension in* $\mathcal{B}_p(\mathcal{H}(X))$ *denoted also by* $a_X^{\tau}(Q,P)$. *The mapping*

$$\mathbb{R}\ni\tau\rightarrow a_X^{\tau}(Q,P)\in\mathcal{B}_p(\mathcal{H}(X))$$

is continuous and for any K a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}$, there is $C_K > 0$ such that

$$\|a_X^\tau(Q,P)\|_p \le C_K \|c\|_{L^p(\mathfrak{S})},$$

for any $\tau \in K$.

Proof. (a) For $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, ..., t_k)$ and $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, ..., s_k)$ we consider $g = g_{2\mathbf{t},2\mathbf{s}} = \psi_{2\mathbf{t}} \otimes \chi_{2\mathbf{s}} \in \mathcal{S}^*(X \times X^*)$. Recall that we use the notations of the previous section. Then $g \in L^1(X \times X^*)$ and $a = b * g$ because

$$(1 - \triangle_{X_1})^{t_1} \otimes ... \otimes (1 - \triangle_{X_k})^{t_k} \otimes \left(1 - \triangle_{X_1^*}\right)^{s_1} \otimes ... \otimes \left(1 - \triangle_{X_k^*}\right)^{s_k} g = \delta.$$

It follows from Corollary 5.3 (a) that $g_X^0(Q,P) \subset G \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H}(X))$. On the other hand, by using Corollary 3.3 and Theorem 4.5 (b) we coclude that

$$a_X^0(Q,P) = (b * g)_X^0(Q,P) \subset b\{G\} \in \mathcal{B}_p(\mathcal{H}(X))$$

and we have

$$\left\|a_X^0(Q,P)\right\|_p \le \|b\|_{L^p(\mathfrak{S})} \left\|g_X^0(Q,P)\right\|_1.$$

(b) The proof of this point is essentially the same. For $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, ..., t_k)$ and $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, ..., s_k)$ we set $g = g_{4\mathbf{t},4\mathbf{s}} = \psi_{4\mathbf{t}} \otimes \chi_{4\mathbf{s}} \in \mathcal{S}^*(X \times X^*)$. Then $g \in L^1(X \times X^*)$ and $a = c*g$. Now we apply Corollary 5.3 to obtain that $g_X^\tau(Q,P)$ has an extension in $\mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H}(X))$ and that the mapping

$$\mathbb{R} \ni \tau \to g_X^\tau(Q,P) \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H}(X))$$

is continuous. From Corollary 3.3 and Theorem 4.5 (b) it follows that

$$a_X^\tau(Q,P) = (c * g)_X^\tau(Q,P) \subset c\{g_X^\tau(Q,P)\} \in \mathcal{B}_p(\mathcal{H}(X))$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_X^\tau(Q,P)\|_p &\le \|c\|_{L^p(\mathfrak{S})} \|g_X^\tau(Q,P)\|_1, \\ \left\|a_X^\tau(Q,P) - a_X^{\tau'}(Q,P)\right\|_p &\le \|c\|_{L^p(\mathfrak{S})} \left\|g_X^\tau(Q,P) - g_X^{\tau'}(Q,P)\right\|_1, \end{aligned}$$

for any τ, $\tau' \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence the mapping

$$\mathbb{R} \ni \tau \to a_X^\tau(Q,P) \in \mathcal{B}_p(\mathcal{H}(X))$$

is continuous and for any K a compact sub set of $\mathbb{R}$, there is $C_K > 0$ such that

$$\|a_X^\tau(Q,P)\|_p \le \sup\{\|g_X^\tau(Q,P)\|_1 : \tau \in K\} \|c\|_{L^p(\mathfrak{S})},$$

for any $\tau \in K$. ∎

If we replace the L^p-conditions by L^∞-conditions, then we obtain the theorems due to Cordes.

Theorem 6.2. *Let $a \in \mathcal{S}^*(\mathfrak{S})$.*

(a) *Assume that there are $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, ..., t_k)$, $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, ..., s_k)$ such that $t_1, s_1 > \frac{\dim X_1}{4}, ..., t_k, s_k > \frac{\dim X_k}{4}$ and*

$$b = (1 - \triangle_{X_1})^{t_1} \otimes ... \otimes (1 - \triangle_{X_k})^{t_k} \otimes \left(1 - \triangle_{X_1^*}\right)^{s_1} \otimes ... \otimes \left(1 - \triangle_{X_k^*}\right)^{s_k} a \in L^\infty(\mathfrak{S}).$$

Then $a_X^0(Q,P)$ has an extension in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}(X))$ denoted also by $a_X^0(Q,P)$ and there is $C > 0$ such that

$$\left\|a_X^0(Q,P)\right\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}(X))} \le C \|b\|_{L^\infty(\mathfrak{S})}.$$

(b) *Assume that there are* $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, ..., t_k)$, $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, ..., s_k)$ *such that* $t_1, s_1 > \frac{\dim X_1}{4}, ..., t_k, s_k > \frac{\dim X_k}{4}$ *and*

$$c = (1 - \triangle_{X_1})^{2t_1} \otimes ... \otimes (1 - \triangle_{X_k})^{2t_k} \otimes \big(1 - \triangle_{X_1^*}\big)^{2s_1} \otimes ... \otimes \big(1 - \triangle_{X_k^*}\big)^{2s_k} a \in L^\infty(\mathfrak{S}).$$

If $\tau \in \mathbb{R} \equiv \mathbb{R} \cdot 1_X$, *then* $a_X^\tau(Q,P)$ *has an extension in* $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}(X))$ *denoted also by* $a_X^\tau(Q,P)$. *The mapping*

$$\mathbb{R} \ni \tau \to a_X^\tau(Q,P) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}(X))$$

is continuous and for any K *a compact subset of* $\mathbb{R}$, *there is* $C_K > 0$ *such that*

$$\|a_X^\tau(Q,P)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}(X))} \leq C_K \|c\|_{L^\infty(\mathfrak{S})},$$

for any $\tau \in K$.

The proof of this theorem is essentially the same, the only change we must do is the reference to part (a) insted of part (b) in Theorem 4.5.

Let $(X, |\cdot|_X)$ be an euclidean space in which we choose an orthonormal basis. Let $m = \left[\frac{\dim X}{2}\right] + 1$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then there are $\tau = \tau(\dim X) > \frac{\dim X}{4}$ and $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$\|(1 - \triangle_X)^\tau \varphi\|_{L^p} \leq \gamma \|\varphi\|_{p,m}, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(X),$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{p,m}$ is the norm on $\mathcal{S}(X)$ defined by

$$\|\varphi\|_{p,m} = \max\left\{\|P_\beta \varphi\|_{L^p} : |\beta| \leq m\right\} = \max\left\{\left\|\partial^\beta \varphi\right\|_{L^p} : |\beta| \leq m\right\}, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(X).$$

For the proof see [10, p.118-119].

Let $X = X_1 \oplus ... \oplus X_k$ be an orthogonal decomposition, $m_1 = \left[\frac{\dim X_1}{2}\right] + 1, ..., m_k = \left[\frac{\dim X_k}{2}\right] + 1$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. By an induction argument we conclude that there are $\tau_1 > \frac{\dim X_1}{4}, ..., \tau_k > \frac{\dim X_k}{4}$ and $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$\|(1 - \triangle_{X_1})^{\tau_1} \otimes ... \otimes (1 - \triangle_{X_k})^{\tau_k} \varphi\|_{L^p} \leq \gamma \|\varphi\|_{p,m_1,...,m_k}, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(X), \tag{6.1}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{p,m_1,...,m_k}$ is the norm on $\mathcal{S}(X)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\|\varphi\|_{p,m_1,...,m_k} &= \max\left\{\left\|P_{\beta_1}^{X_1} ... P_{\beta_k}^{X_k} \varphi\right\|_{L^p} : |\beta_1| \leq m_1, ..., |\beta_k| \leq m_k\right\} \\ &= \max\left\{\left\|\left(\partial^{X_1}\right)^{\beta_1} ... \left(\partial^{X_k}\right)^{\beta_k} \varphi\right\|_{L^p} : |\beta_1| \leq m_1, ..., |\beta_k| \leq m_k\right\}, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(X).\end{aligned}$$

We introduce the space

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}^p_{m_1,...,m_k} &= \left\{u \in \mathcal{S}^*(X) : P_{\beta_1}^{X_1} ... P_{\beta_k}^{X_k} u \in L^p(X), |\beta_1| \leq m_1, ..., |\beta_k| \leq m_k\right\} \\ &= \left\{u \in \mathcal{S}^*(X) : \left(\partial^{X_1}\right)^{\beta_1} ... \left(\partial^{X_k}\right)^{\beta_k} u \in L^p(X), |\beta_1| \leq m_1, ..., |\beta_k| \leq m_k\right\},\end{aligned}$$

on which the norm $\|\cdot\|_{p,m_1,...,m_k}$ has a natural extension. With this norn $\mathcal{C}^p_{m_1,...,m_k}$ becomes a Banach space.

Lemma 6.3. *There are* $\tau_1 > \frac{\dim X_1}{4}, ..., \tau_k > \frac{\dim X_k}{4}$ *and* $\gamma > 0$ *such that if* $u \in \mathcal{C}^p_{m_1,...,m_k}$, *then* $(1 - \triangle_{X_1})^{\tau_1} \otimes ... \otimes (1 - \triangle_{X_k})^{\tau_k} u \in L^p(X)$ *and*

$$\|(1 - \triangle_{X_1})^{\tau_1} \otimes ... \otimes (1 - \triangle_{X_k})^{\tau_k} u\|_{L^p} \leq \gamma \|u\|_{p,m_1,...,m_k}, \quad u \in \mathcal{C}^p_{m_1,...,m_k}.$$

Proof. The constants $\tau_1 > \frac{\dim X_1}{4}, ..., \tau_k > \frac{\dim X_k}{4}$ and $\gamma > 0$ will be those in (6.1). We denote by L the operator $\left(1-\triangle_{X_1}\right)^{\tau_1} \otimes ... \otimes \left(1-\triangle_{X_k}\right)^{\tau_k}$. Let $\{\varphi_\varepsilon\}$ be a family of smooth functions such that $0 \le \varphi_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(X)$, $\int \varphi_\varepsilon(x)\,\mathrm{d}\,x = 1$ and $\operatorname{supp}\varphi_\varepsilon \subset B(0;\varepsilon)$. Let $\chi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(X)$ be such that $0 \le \chi \le 1$, $\operatorname{supp}\chi \subset B(0;2)$ and $\chi|B(0;1) = 1$. For $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $j \ge 1$, we set $\chi_j = \chi(\cdot/j)$.

Let $u \in \mathcal{C}^p_{m_1,...,m_k}$. Then $u_j = \left(\chi_j u\right) * \varphi_{1/j} \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(X)$ and $u_j \to u$ in $\mathcal{S}^*(X)$.

If $1 \le p < \infty$, then $u_j \to u$ in $\mathcal{C}^p_{m_1,...,m_k}$, because

$$\left\|\left(\chi_j u\right) * \varphi_{1/j} - u\right\|_{p,m_1,...,m_k} \le \left\|\left(\chi_j u\right) - u\right\|_{p,m_1,...,m_k} + \left\|u * \varphi_{1/j} - u\right\|_{p,m_1,...,m_k}.$$

Since

$$\|Lu_j\|_{L^p} \le \gamma \|u_j\|_{p,m_1,...,m_k}, \quad \|Lu_j - Lu_{j'}\|_{L^p} \le \gamma \|u_j - u_{j'}\|_{p,m_1,...,m_k},$$

it follows that $Lu_j \to Lu$ in $L^p(X)$ and $\|Lu\|_{L^p} \le \gamma \|u\|_{p,m_1,...,m_k}$.

If $p = \infty$, then $u_j \to u$ only in $\mathcal{S}^*(X)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle\psi, Lu_j\rangle| &\le \|\psi\|_{L^1} \|Lu_j\|_{L^\infty} \le \gamma \|\psi\|_{L^1} \|u_j\|_{\infty,m_1,...,m_k} \\ &\le \gamma \|\psi\|_{L^1} \|\chi_j u\|_{\infty,m_1,...,m_k} \le \gamma \left(1 + C(\chi) j^{-1}\right) \|\psi\|_{L^1} \|u\|_{\infty,m_1,...,m_k}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(X)$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $j \ge 1$. If we let $j \to \infty$ we obtain that

$$|\langle\psi, Lu\rangle| \le \gamma \|\psi\|_{L^1} \|u\|_{\infty,m_1,...,m_k}, \quad \psi \in \mathcal{S}(X).$$

It follows that $Lu \in \left(L^1(X)\right)^* = L^\infty(X)$ and $\|Lu\|_{L^\infty} \le \gamma \|u\|_{\infty,m_1,...,m_k}$. ∎

We return to the symplectic space $\mathfrak{S} = T^*(X)$. An orthogonal decomposition of X, $X = X_1 \oplus ... \oplus X_k$, gives an orthogonal decomposition of $\mathfrak{S}$, $\mathfrak{S} = X_1 \oplus ... \oplus X_k \oplus X_1^* \oplus ... \oplus X_k^*$, if on $\mathfrak{S}$ we consider the euclidean norm $\|(x,p)\|^2_{\mathfrak{S}} = \|x\|^2_X + \|p\|^2_{X^*}$. We shall choose an orthonormal basis in each space X_j, $j = 1, ..., k$, while in X_j^*, $j = 1, ..., k$ we shall consider the dual bases. Then $\partial^X = \left(\partial^{X_1}, ..., \partial^{X_k}\right)$, $\partial^{X^*} = \left(\partial^{X_1^*}, ..., \partial^{X_k^*}\right)$.

For $1 \le p \le \infty$ and $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, ..., t_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$ we set $\mathcal{M}^p_{\mathbf{t}} = \mathcal{M}^p_{t_1,...,t_k}$ for the space of all distributions $a \in \mathcal{S}^*(\mathfrak{S})$ whose derivatives $\left(\partial^{X_1}\right)^{\alpha_1} ... \left(\partial^{X_k}\right)^{\alpha_k} \left(\partial^{X_1^*}\right)^{\beta_1} ... \left(\partial^{X_k^*}\right)^{\beta_k} a$ belong to $L^p(\mathfrak{S})$ when $\alpha_j, \beta_j \in \mathbb{N}^{\dim X_j}$, $|\alpha_j|, |\beta_j| \le t_j$, $j = 1, ..., k$. On this space we shall consider the natural norm $|\cdot|_{p,\mathbf{t}} = |\cdot|_{p,t_1,...,t_k}$ defined by

$$|a|_{p,\mathbf{t}} = \max_{|\alpha_1|,|\beta_1| \le t_1,...,|\alpha_k|,|\beta_k| \le t_k} \left\|\left(\partial^{X_1}\right)^{\alpha_1} ... \left(\partial^{X_k}\right)^{\alpha_k} \left(\partial^{X_1^*}\right)^{\beta_1} ... \left(\partial^{X_k^*}\right)^{\beta_k} a\right\|_{L^p}.$$

Let $m_1 = \left[\frac{\dim X_1}{2}\right] + 1, ..., m_k = \left[\frac{\dim X_k}{2}\right] + 1$ and $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, ..., m_k)$.

A consequence of Theorem 6.1 and of Lemma 6.3 is the following

Theorem 6.4. *Assume that* $1 \le p < \infty$ *and let* $a \in \mathcal{S}^*(\mathfrak{S})$.

(a) *If* $a \in \mathcal{M}^p_{m_1,...,m_k}$, *then* $a^0_X(Q,P)$ *has an extension in* $\mathcal{B}_p(\mathcal{H}(X))$ *denoted also by* $a^0_X(Q,P)$ *and there is* $C > 0$ *such that*

$$\left\|a^0_X(Q,P)\right\|_p \le C\,|a|_{p,m_1,...,m_k}.$$

(b) *If* $a \in \mathcal{M}^p_{2m_1,...,2m_k}$, *then for any* $\tau \in \mathbb{R} \equiv \mathbb{R} \cdot 1_X$, $a^\tau_X(Q,P)$ *has an extension in* $\mathcal{B}_p(\mathcal{H}(X))$ *denoted also by* $a^\tau_X(Q,P)$. *The mapping*

$$\mathbb{R} \ni \tau \to a^\tau_X(Q,P) \in \mathcal{B}_p(\mathcal{H}(X))$$

is continuous and for any K a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}$, there is $C_K > 0$ such that

$$\|a_X^\tau(Q,P)\|_p \le C_K\, |a|_{p,2m_1,\dots,2m_k},$$

for any $\tau \in K$.

Similarly, for $p = \infty$, a consequence of Theorem 6.2 and of Lemma 6.3 is the celebrated Calderon-Vaillancourt Theorem.

Theorem 6.5 (Calderon, Vaillancourt)**.** (a) *If $a \in \mathcal{M}^\infty_{m_1,\dots,m_k}$, then $a_X^0(Q,P)$ is L^2-bounded and there is $C > 0$ such that*

$$\left\|a_X^0(Q,P)\right\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}(X))} \le C\, |a|_{\infty,m_1,\dots,m_k}.$$

(b) *If $a \in \mathcal{M}^\infty_{2m_1,\dots,2m_k}$, then $a_X^\tau(Q,P)$ is L^2-bounded for any $\tau \in \mathbb{R} \equiv \mathbb{R}\cdot 1_X$. The mapping*

$$\mathbb{R} \ni \tau \to a_X^\tau(Q,P) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}(X))$$

is continuous and for any K a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}$, there is $C_K > 0$ such that

$$\|a_X^\tau(Q,P)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}(X))} \le C_K\, |a|_{\infty,2m_1,\dots,2m_k},$$

for any $\tau \in K$.

To obtain other results we shall use the following

Lemma 6.6. *Let $X = X_1 \oplus \dots \oplus X_k$ be an orthogonal decomposition. If $s_1, \dots, s_k \ge 0, \varepsilon > 0$, then for any $1 \le p \le \infty$,*

$$(1 - \triangle_{X_1} \otimes 1)^{s_1} \dots (1 - 1 \otimes \triangle_{X_k})^{s_k} (1 - \triangle_X)^{-(s_1+\dots+s_k)-\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{B}(L^p(X)).$$

The proof of this lemma is given the appendix.

The next two theorems are consequences of this lemma, Theorem 6.1 and Theorem 6.2. Recall that the Sobolev space $H_p^s(\mathfrak{S})$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \le p \le \infty$, consists of all $a \in \mathcal{S}^*(\mathfrak{S})$ such that $(1 - \triangle_{\mathfrak{S}})^{s/2} a \in L^p(\mathfrak{S})$, and we set $\|a\|_{H_p^s(\mathfrak{S})} \equiv \left\|(1 - \triangle_{\mathfrak{S}})^{s/2} a\right\|_{L^p(\mathfrak{S})}$.

Theorem 6.7. *Assume that $1 \le p < \infty$.*

(a) *If $s > \dim X$ and $a \in H_p^s(\mathfrak{S})$, then $a_X^0(Q,P)$ has an extension in $\mathcal{B}_p(\mathcal{H}(X))$ denoted also by $a_X^0(Q,P)$ and there is $C > 0$ such that*

$$\left\|a_X^0(Q,P)\right\|_p \le C\, \|a\|_{H_p^s(\mathfrak{S})}.$$

(b) *If $s > 2\dim X$ and $a \in H_p^s(\mathfrak{S})$, then for any $\tau \in \mathbb{R} \equiv \mathbb{R}\cdot 1_X$, $a_X^\tau(Q,P)$ has an extension in $\mathcal{B}_p(\mathcal{H}(X))$ denoted also by $a_X^\tau(Q,P)$. The mapping*

$$\mathbb{R} \ni \tau \to a_X^\tau(Q,P) \in \mathcal{B}_p(\mathcal{H}(X))$$

is continuous and for any K a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}$, there is $C_K > 0$ such that

$$\|a_X^\tau(Q,P)\|_p \le C_K\, \|a\|_{H_p^s(\mathfrak{S})},$$

for any $\tau \in K$.

Theorem 6.8. (a) *If $s > \dim X$ and $a \in H_\infty^s(\mathfrak{S})$, then $a_X^0(Q,P)$ is L^2-bounded and there is $C > 0$ such that*

$$\left\|a_X^0(Q,P)\right\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}(X))} \le C\, \|a\|_{H_\infty^s(\mathfrak{S})}.$$

(b) *If* $s > 2\dim X$ *and* $a \in H^s_\infty(\mathfrak{S})$, *then* $a^\tau_X(Q,P)$ *is* L^2*-bounded for any* $\tau \in \mathbb{R} \equiv \mathbb{R}\cdot 1_X$. *The mapping*

$$\mathbb{R} \ni \tau \to a^\tau_X(Q,P) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}(X))$$

is continuous and for any K *a compact subset of* $\mathbb{R}$, *there is* $C_K > 0$ *such that*

$$\|a^\tau_X(Q,P)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}(X))} \le C_K \|a\|_{H^s_\infty(\mathfrak{S})},$$

for any $\tau \in K$.

If we note that $a^\tau_X(Q,P) \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H}(X))$ whenever $a \in L^2(\mathfrak{S}) = H^0_2(\mathfrak{S})$, then the last two theorems and standard interpolation results in Sobolev spaces (see [2, Theorem 6.4.5]) give us the following

Theorem 6.9. *Let* $\mu > 1$, $1 \le p < \infty$ *and* $n = \dim X$.

(a) *If* $a \in H^{\mu n|1-2/p|}_p(\mathfrak{S})$, *then* $a^0_X(Q,P)$ *has an extension in* $\mathcal{B}_p(\mathcal{H}(X))$ *denoted also by* $a^0_X(Q,P)$ *and there is* $C > 0$ *such that*

$$\left\|a^0_X(Q,P)\right\|_p \le C\|a\|_{H^{\mu n|1-2/p|}_p(\mathfrak{S})}.$$

(b) *If* $a \in H^{2\mu n|1-2/p|}_p(\mathfrak{S})$, *then for any* $\tau \in \mathbb{R} \equiv \mathbb{R}\cdot 1_X$, $a^\tau_X(Q,P)$ *has an extension in* $\mathcal{B}_p(\mathcal{H}(X))$ *denoted also by* $a^\tau_X(Q,P)$. *The mapping*

$$\mathbb{R} \ni \tau \to a^\tau_X(Q,P) \in \mathcal{B}_p(\mathcal{H}(X))$$

is continuous and for any K *a compact subset of* $\mathbb{R}$, *there is* $C_K > 0$ *such that*

$$\|a^\tau_X(Q,P)\|_p \le C_K \|a\|_{H^{2\mu n|1-2/p|}_p(\mathfrak{S})},$$

for any $\tau \in K$.

Appendix A. A class of Fourier multipliers

In this appendix we shall prove Lemma 6.6.

Let us assume that the lemma has been proved for $k = 2$. Let $k \ge 3$. For $1 \le l \le k$, we set $T_l = (1 - 1\otimes\triangle_{X_l}\otimes 1)^{s_l}(1-\triangle_X)^{-s_l-\frac{\varepsilon}{k}}$. Then $T_l \in \mathcal{B}(L^p(X))$ and

$$(1-\triangle_{X_1}\otimes 1)^{s_1}\ldots(1-1\otimes\triangle_{X_k})^{s_k}(1-\triangle_X)^{-(s_1+\ldots+s_k)-\varepsilon} = T_1\ldots T_k \in \mathcal{B}(L^p(X)).$$

This shows that the lema is true provided that it holds for $k = 2$.

By choosing an orthonormal basis in each space X_1 and X_2 we identify X_1 with $\mathbb{R}^{n_1}$, X_2 with $\mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ and X with $\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}$. Then the operator

$$(1-\triangle_1\otimes 1)^{s_1}(1-1\otimes\triangle_2)^{s_2}(1-\triangle_1\otimes 1 - 1\otimes\triangle_2)^{-s_1-s_2-\varepsilon}$$

has the symbol $a : \mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$a(\xi_1,\xi_2) = \langle\xi_1\rangle^{s_1}\langle\xi_2\rangle^{s_2}\langle(\xi_1,\xi_2)\rangle^{-s_1-s_2-\varepsilon}, \quad (\xi_1,\xi_2)\in\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}$$

where $\langle\xi\rangle = \left(1+|\xi|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. If we write $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2$ with $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 > 0$, then it can be easy check that for any $(\alpha_1,\alpha_2) \in \mathbb{N}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{N}^{n_2}$, there is $C_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2} = C(n_1,n_2,s_1,s_2,\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$\left|\partial^{\alpha_1}_{\xi_1}\partial^{\alpha_2}_{\xi_2}a(\xi_1,\xi_2)\right| \le C_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2}\langle\xi_1\rangle^{-\varepsilon_1-|\alpha_1|}\langle\xi_2\rangle^{-\epsilon_2-|\alpha_2|}, \quad (\xi_1,\xi_2)\in\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}.$$

Definition A.1. *Let* $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, m_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. *We shall say that* $a : \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2} \to \mathbb{C}$ *is a symbol of degree* $\mathbf{m}$ *if* $a \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ *and for any* $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathbb{N}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{N}^{n_2}$*, there is* $C_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2} > 0$ *such that*

$$\left|\partial_{\xi_1}^{\alpha_1} \partial_{\xi_2}^{\alpha_2} a(\xi_1, \xi_2)\right| \leq C_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2} \langle \xi_1 \rangle^{m_1 - |\alpha_1|} \langle \xi_2 \rangle^{m_2 - |\alpha_2|}, \quad (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{S}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}) = \mathcal{S}^{m_1,m_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ the vector space of all symbols of degree $\mathbf{m}$ and observe that

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}) \subset \mathcal{S}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}) \subset \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}),$$
$$\mathcal{S}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}) \cdot \mathcal{S}^{\overline{\mathbf{m}}}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}) \subset \mathcal{S}^{\mathbf{m}+\overline{\mathbf{m}}}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}),$$
$$\mathbf{m} \leq \overline{\mathbf{m}} \Rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}) \subset \mathcal{S}^{\overline{\mathbf{m}}}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}),$$

where $\mathbf{m} \leq \overline{\mathbf{m}}$ means $m_1 \leq \overline{m}_1, m_2 \leq \overline{m}_2$.

Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$, $\varphi(\xi) = 1$ for $|\xi| \leq 1$, $\varphi(\xi) = 0$ for $|\xi| \geq 2$. We define $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$\psi(\xi) = -\xi \cdot \nabla\varphi(\xi) = -\sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j \partial_j \varphi(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then $\operatorname{supp}\psi \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : 1 \leq |\xi| \leq 2\}$.

If $r > 1$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \backslash 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi\left(\frac{\xi}{r}\right) - \varphi(\xi) &= \int_1^r \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\,t} \varphi\left(\frac{\xi}{t}\right) \mathrm{d}\,t = -\int_1^r \frac{\xi}{t} \cdot \nabla\varphi\left(\frac{\xi}{t}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}\,t}{t} \\ &= \int_1^r \psi\left(\frac{\xi}{t}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}\,t}{t}. \end{aligned}$$

We take $r \to \infty$ to obtain that

$$1 = \varphi(\xi) + \int_1^\infty \psi\left(\frac{\xi}{t}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}\,t}{t}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

If we use such identities in each space $\mathbb{R}^{n_1}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{n_2}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = \varphi_1(\xi_1)\varphi_2(\xi_2) &+ \int_1^\infty \psi_1\left(\frac{\xi_1}{t_1}\right)\varphi_2(\xi_2)\frac{\mathrm{d}\,t_1}{t_1} + \int_1^\infty \varphi_1(\xi_1)\psi_2\left(\frac{\xi_2}{t_2}\right)\frac{\mathrm{d}\,t_2}{t_2} \\ &+ \int_1^\infty \int_1^\infty \psi_1\left(\frac{\xi_1}{t_1}\right)\psi_2\left(\frac{\xi_2}{t_2}\right)\frac{\mathrm{d}\,t_1}{t_1}\frac{\mathrm{d}\,t_2}{t_2}, \quad (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}. \end{aligned}$$

where for $j = 1, 2$ the functions $\varphi_j, \psi_j \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n_j})$ satisfy $0 \leq \varphi_j \leq 1$, $\varphi_j(\xi_j) = 1$ for $|\xi_j| \leq 1$, $\varphi_j(\xi_j) = 0$ for $|\xi_j| \geq 2$, $\operatorname{supp}\psi_j \subset \{\xi_j \in \mathbb{R}^{n_j} : 1 \leq |\xi_j| \leq 2\}$, and ψ_j is given by $\psi_j(\xi_j) = -\xi_j \cdot \nabla_{\xi_j}\varphi_j(\xi_j)$, $\xi_j \in \mathbb{R}^{n_j}$.

We make use of the following simple but important remark. If $a \in \mathcal{S}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}) = \mathcal{S}^{m_1,m_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$, then the families of functions

$$\{(\psi_1 \otimes \varphi_2)\, a_{t_1,1}\}_{1 \leq t_1 < \infty}, \{(\varphi_1 \otimes \psi_2)\, a_{1,t_2}\}_{1 \leq t_2 < \infty}, \{(\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2)\, a_{t_1,t_2}\}_{\substack{1 \leq t_1 < \infty \\ 1 \leq t_2 < \infty}}$$

are bounded in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$, where for $t_1, t_2 > 0$

$$a_{t_1,t_2}(\xi_1, \xi_2) = t_1^{-m_1} t_2^{-m_2} a(t_1\xi_1, t_2\xi_2), \quad (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}.$$

Let $a_0 = (\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2)\, a \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$. Since

$$a(\xi_1, \xi_2) = t_1^{m_1} t_2^{m_2} a_{t_1,t_2}\left(\frac{\xi_1}{t_1}, \frac{\xi_2}{t_2}\right), \quad (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}, 1 \leq t_1, t_2 < \infty,$$

we can write

$$\begin{aligned} a\left(\xi_1,\xi_2\right) = a_0\left(\xi_1,\xi_2\right) &+ \int_1^\infty t_1^{m_1}\left(\left(\psi_1\otimes\varphi_2\right)a_{t_1,1}\right)\left(\frac{\xi_1}{t_1},\xi_2\right)\frac{\mathrm{d}\,t_1}{t_1} \\ &+ \int_1^\infty t_2^{m_2}\left(\left(\varphi_1\otimes\psi_2\right)a_{1,t_2}\right)\left(\xi_1,\frac{\xi_2}{t_2}\right)\frac{\mathrm{d}\,t_2}{t_2} \\ &+ \int_1^\infty\int_1^\infty t_1^{m_1}t_2^{m_2}\left(\left(\psi_1\otimes\psi_2\right)a_{t_1,t_2}\right)\left(\frac{\xi_1}{t_1},\frac{\xi_2}{t_2}\right)\frac{\mathrm{d}\,t_1}{t_1}\frac{\mathrm{d}\,t_2}{t_2}, \\ &\qquad\left(\xi_1,\xi_2\right)\in\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}, \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|t_1^{m_1}t_2^{m_2}\left(\left(\psi_1\otimes\psi_2\right)a_{t_1,t_2}\right)\left(\frac{\xi_1}{t_1},\frac{\xi_2}{t_2}\right)\right| \\ &\qquad\le t_1^{m_1-2N_1}t_2^{m_2-2N_2}\left(\sup\left|\left(\psi_1\otimes\psi_2\right)a_{t_1,t_2}\right|\right)\left|\xi_1\right|^{2N_1}\left|\xi_2\right|^{2N_2}, \\ &\qquad\qquad\left(\xi_1,\xi_2\right)\in\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}, 1\le t_1,t_2<\infty, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|t_1^{m_1}\left(\left(\psi_1\otimes\varphi_2\right)a_{t_1,1}\right)\left(\frac{\xi_1}{t_1},\xi_2\right)\right| \le t_1^{m_1-2N_1}\left(\sup\left|\left(\psi_1\otimes\varphi_2\right)a_{t_1,1}\right|\right)\left|\xi_1\right|^{2N_1}, \\ &\qquad\qquad\left(\xi_1,\xi_2\right)\in\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}, 1\le t_1<\infty, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|t_2^{m_2}\left(\left(\varphi_1\otimes\psi_2\right)a_{1,t_2}\right)\left(\xi_1,\frac{\xi_2}{t_2}\right)\right| \le t_2^{m_2-2N_2}\left(\sup\left(\varphi_1\otimes\psi_2\right)a_{1,t_2}\right)\left|\xi_2\right|^{2N_2}, \\ &\qquad\qquad\left(\xi_1,\xi_2\right)\in\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}, 1\le t_2<\infty, \end{aligned}$$

so if we choose $N_1,N_2\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $m_1<2N_1$ and $m_2<2N_2$, it follows that the representation

$$\begin{aligned} a = a_0 &+ \int_1^\infty\left(\left(\psi_1\otimes\varphi_2\right)a_{t_1,1}\right)_{t_1^{-1},1}\frac{\mathrm{d}\,t_1}{t_1} + \int_1^\infty\left(\left(\varphi_1\otimes\psi_2\right)a_{1,t_2}\right)_{1,t_2^{-1}}\frac{\mathrm{d}\,t_2}{t_2} \\ &+ \int_1^\infty\int_1^\infty\left(\left(\psi_1\otimes\psi_2\right)a_{t_1,t_2}\right)_{t_1^{-1},t_2^{-1}}\frac{\mathrm{d}\,t_1}{t_1}\frac{\mathrm{d}\,t_2}{t_2}. \end{aligned}$$

is valid also as equality in $\mathcal{S}^*\left(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}\right)$ with the integrals weakly absolutely convergent. If we apply $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ to this formula we obtain a decomposition of $\mathcal{F}^{-1}a$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^{-1}a = \mathcal{F}^{-1}a_0 &+ \int_1^\infty\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\left(\psi_1\otimes\varphi_2\right)a_{t_1,1}\right)_{t_1^{-1},1}\frac{\mathrm{d}\,t_1}{t_1} \\ &+ \int_1^\infty\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\left(\varphi_1\otimes\psi_2\right)a_{1,t_2}\right)_{1,t_2^{-1}}\frac{\mathrm{d}\,t_2}{t_2} \\ &+ \int_1^\infty\int_1^\infty\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\left(\psi_1\otimes\psi_2\right)a_{t_1,t_2}\right)_{t_1^{-1},t_2^{-1}}\frac{\mathrm{d}\,t_1}{t_1}\frac{\mathrm{d}\,t_2}{t_2} \end{aligned}$$

with the integrals weakly absolutely convergent.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\left(\left(\psi_1\otimes\psi_2\right)a_{t_1,t_2}\right)_{t_1^{-1},t_2^{-1}}\right)\left(x_1,x_2\right) \\ &\qquad= t_1^{m_1+n_1}t_2^{m_2+n_2}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\left(\psi_1\otimes\psi_2\right)a_{t_1,t_2}\right)\left(t_1x_1,t_2x_2\right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\left(\left(\psi_1\otimes\varphi_2\right)a_{t_1,1}\right)_{t_1^{-1},1}\right)(x_1,x_2) &= t_1^{m_1+n_1}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\left(\psi_1\otimes\varphi_2\right)a_{t_1,1}\right)(t_1x_1,x_2),\\ \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\left(\left(\varphi_1\otimes\psi_2\right)a_{1,t_2}\right)_{1,t_2^{-1}}\right)(x_1,x_2) &= t_2^{m_2+n_2}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\left(\varphi_1\otimes\psi_2\right)a_{1,t_2}\right)(x_1,t_2x_2).\end{aligned}$$

Since the families of functions $\left\{\left(\psi_1\otimes\varphi_2\right)a_{t_1,1}\right\}_{1\le t_1<\infty}$, $\left\{\left(\varphi_1\otimes\psi_2\right)a_{1,t_2}\right\}_{1\le t_2<\infty}$, $\left\{\left(\psi_1\otimes\psi_2\right)a_{t_1,t_2}\right\}_{\substack{1\le t_1<\infty\\1\le t_2<\infty}}$ are bounded in $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}\right)$, it follows that the families

$$\left\{\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\left(\psi_1\otimes\varphi_2\right)a_{t_1,1}\right)\right\}_{1\le t_1<\infty},\left\{\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\left(\varphi_1\otimes\psi_2\right)a_{1,t_2}\right)\right\}_{1\le t_2<\infty},$$
$$\left\{\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\left(\psi_1\otimes\psi_2\right)a_{t_1,t_2}\right)\right\}_{\substack{1\le t_1<\infty\\1\le t_2<\infty}},$$

are also bounded in $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}\right)$. In particular, for any $N\in\mathbb{N}$, there is $C_N>0$ such that if $(x_1,x_2)\in\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\left(\psi_1\otimes\varphi_2\right)a_{t_1,1}\right)(x_1,x_2)\right| &\le C_N\left\langle(x_1,x_2)\right\rangle^{-2N-M},\\ \left|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\left(\varphi_1\otimes\psi_2\right)a_{1,t_2}\right)(x_1,x_2)\right| &\le C_N\left\langle(x_1,x_2)\right\rangle^{-2N-M},\\ \left|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\left(\psi_1\otimes\psi_2\right)a_{t_1,t_2}\right)(x_1,x_2)\right| &\le C_N\left\langle(x_1,x_2)\right\rangle^{-2N-2M},\end{aligned}$$

where $M\in\mathbb{N}$, $M\ge 1+\max\{0,m_1+n_1,m_2+n_2\}$ is fixed.

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\left(\left(\psi_1\otimes\psi_2\right)a_{t_1,t_2}\right)_{t_1^{-1},t_2^{-1}}\right)(x_1,x_2)\right|\\ &\qquad\le C_Nt_1^{m_1+n_1}t_2^{m_2+n_2}\left\langle x_1\right\rangle^{-N}\left\langle x_2\right\rangle^{-N}\left\langle t_1x_1\right\rangle^{-M}\left\langle t_2x_2\right\rangle^{-M},\\ &\qquad\qquad(x_1,x_2)\in\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2},1\le t_1,t_2<\infty,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\left(\left(\psi_1\otimes\varphi_2\right)a_{t_1,1}\right)_{t_1^{-1},1}\right)(x_1,x_2)\right| &\le C_Nt_1^{m_1+n_1}\left\langle x_1\right\rangle^{-N}\left\langle x_2\right\rangle^{-N}\left\langle t_1x_1\right\rangle^{-M},\\ &\qquad(x_1,x_2)\in\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2},1\le t_1<\infty,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\left(\left(\varphi_1\otimes\psi_2\right)a_{1,t_2}\right)_{1,t_2^{-1}}\right)(x_1,x_2)\right| &\le C_Nt_2^{m_2+n_2}\left\langle x_1\right\rangle^{-N}\left\langle x_2\right\rangle^{-N}\left\langle t_2x_2\right\rangle^{-M},\\ &\qquad(x_1,x_2)\in\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2},1\le t_2<\infty,\end{aligned}$$

To calculate the restriction of the temperate distribution $\mathcal{F}^{-1}a$ to the complement of the singular subset $\mathcal{M}=\{(x_1,x_2)\in\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}:|x_1|\,|x_2|=0\}$, we need the following easy consequence of Fubini theorem.

Lemma A.2. *Let (T,μ) be a measure space, $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ an open set and $f:\Omega\times T\to\mathbb{C}$ a measurable function.*

(a) *If for any $\varphi\in\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Omega)$ the function $\Omega\times T\ni(x,t)\to\varphi(x)f(x,t)\in\mathbb{C}$ belongs to $L^1(\Omega\times T)$, then the mapping*

$$\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Omega)\ni\varphi\to\iint\varphi(x)f(x,t)\,\mathrm{d}\,x\,\mathrm{d}\,\mu(t)\in\mathbb{C}$$

define a distribution, the function $\Omega\ni x\to\int f(x,t)\,\mathrm{d}\,\mu(t)\in\mathbb{C}$, defined a.e., belongs to $L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle\varphi,\int f(\cdot,t)\,\mathrm{d}\,\mu(t)\right\rangle &= \iint\varphi(x)f(x,t)\,\mathrm{d}\,x\,\mathrm{d}\,\mu(t)\\ &= \int\left(\int\varphi(x)f(x,t)\,\mathrm{d}\,x\right)\mathrm{d}\,\mu(t),\quad\varphi\in\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Omega).\end{aligned}$$

(b) *Assume that* $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$. *If there is* $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ *such that the function* $\mathbb{R}^n \times T \ni (x,t) \to \langle x\rangle^{-\tau} f(x,t) \in \mathbb{C}$ *belongs to* $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n \times T)$, *then the mapping*

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \ni \varphi \to \iint \varphi(x) f(x,t) \,\mathrm{d}\, x \,\mathrm{d}\, \mu(t) \in \mathbb{C}$$

define a temperate distribution, the function $\mathbb{R}^n \ni x \to \int f(x,t) \,\mathrm{d}\, \mu(t) \in \mathbb{C}$, *defined a.e., belongs to* $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\langle \cdot\rangle^{-\tau} \int f(\cdot,t)\,\mathrm{d}\,\mu(t) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *and we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle \varphi, \int f(\cdot,t)\,\mathrm{d}\,\mu(t)\right\rangle &= \iint \varphi(x) f(x,t)\,\mathrm{d}\, x\,\mathrm{d}\,\mu(t) \\ &= \int \left(\int \varphi(x) f(x,t)\,\mathrm{d}\, x\right) \mathrm{d}\,\mu(t), \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).\end{aligned}$$

From the representation formula of $\mathcal{F}^{-1}a$, the above estimates and part (a) of the previous lemma we conclude that $\mathcal{F}^{-1}a$ is a $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ function on $\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}\backslash\mathcal{M}$ which decays at infinity, together with all its derivatives, more rapidly than any power of $\langle(x_1,x_2)\rangle^{-1}$. We shall denote by $\mathrm{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}\backslash\mathcal{M})$ the space of elements of $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ that are smooth outside the set $\mathcal{M}$ and rapidly decreasing at infinity. Since on $\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}\backslash\mathcal{M}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}^{-1}a(x_1,x_2) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}a_0(x_1,x_2) &+ \int_1^\infty \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(((\psi_1\otimes\varphi_2)\, a_{t_1,1})_{t_1^{-1},1}\right)(x_1,x_2)\,\frac{\mathrm{d}\, t_1}{t_1} \\ &+ \int_1^\infty \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(((\varphi_1\otimes\psi_2)\, a_{1,t_2})_{1,t_2^{-1}}\right)(x_1,x_2)\,\frac{\mathrm{d}\, t_2}{t_2} \\ &+ \int_1^\infty\int_1^\infty \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(((\psi_1\otimes\psi_2)\, a_{t_1,t_2})_{t_1^{-1},t_2^{-1}}\right)(x_1,x_2)\,\frac{\mathrm{d}\, t_1}{t_1}\frac{\mathrm{d}\, t_2}{t_2}, \\ &\qquad (x_1,x_2)\in\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}\backslash\mathcal{M},\end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\mathcal{F}^{-1}a(x_1,x_2)\right| \le \left|\mathcal{F}^{-1}a_0(x_1,x_2)\right| & \\ + C_N\langle x_1\rangle^{-N}\langle x_2\rangle^{-N}&\int_1^\infty t_1^{m_1+n_1}\langle t_1x_1\rangle^{-M}\frac{\mathrm{d}\, t_1}{t_1} \\ + C_N\langle x_1\rangle^{-N}\langle x_2\rangle^{-N}&\int_1^\infty t_2^{m_2+n_2}\langle t_2x_2\rangle^{-M}\frac{\mathrm{d}\, t_2}{t_2} \\ + C_N\langle x_1\rangle^{-N}\langle x_2\rangle^{-N}&\int_1^\infty\int_1^\infty t_1^{m_1+n_1}t_2^{m_2+n_2}\langle t_1x_1\rangle^{-M}\langle t_2x_2\rangle^{-M}\frac{\mathrm{d}\, t_1}{t_1}\frac{\mathrm{d}\, t_2}{t_2}, \\ &\qquad (x_1,x_2)\in\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}\backslash\mathcal{M},\end{aligned}$$

or equivalently

$$\begin{aligned}\langle x_1\rangle^N \langle x_2\rangle^N \left|\mathcal{F}^{-1}a\left(x_1,x_2\right)\right| &\le \langle x_1\rangle^N \langle x_2\rangle^N \left|\mathcal{F}^{-1}a_0\left(x_1,x_2\right)\right| \\ &\quad + C_N \left|x_1\right|^{-m_1-n_1} \int_{|x_1|}^{\infty} t_1^{m_1+n_1} \langle t_1\rangle^{-M} \frac{\mathrm{d}\,t_1}{t_1} \\ &\quad + C_N \left|x_2\right|^{-m_2-n_2} \int_{|x_2|}^{\infty} t_2^{m_2+n_2} \langle t_2\rangle^{-M} \frac{\mathrm{d}\,t_2}{t_2} \\ + C_N \left|x_1\right|^{-m_1-n_1} \left|x_2\right|^{-m_2-n_2} &\int_{|x_1|}^{\infty}\int_{|x_2|}^{\infty} t_1^{m_1+n_1} \langle t_1\rangle^{-M} t_2^{m_2+n_2} \langle t_2\rangle^{-M} \frac{\mathrm{d}\,t_1}{t_1}\frac{\mathrm{d}\,t_2}{t_2}, \\ &\qquad (x_1,x_2)\in\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}\backslash\mathcal{M}.\end{aligned}$$

If $m_1+n_1>0$ and $m_2+n_2>0$, then

$$C=\max\left\{\int_0^{\infty} t_1^{m_1+n_1} \langle t_1\rangle^{-M} \frac{\mathrm{d}\,t_1}{t_1}, \int_0^{\infty} t_2^{m_2+n_2} \langle t_2\rangle^{-M} \frac{\mathrm{d}\,t_2}{t_2}\right\}<\infty$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\langle x_1\rangle^N \langle x_2\rangle^N \left|\mathcal{F}^{-1}a\left(x_1,x_2\right)\right| &\le \langle x_1\rangle^N \langle x_2\rangle^N \left|\mathcal{F}^{-1}a_0\left(x_1,x_2\right)\right| \\ &\quad + CC_N\left(\left|x_1\right|^{-m_1-n_1}+\left|x_2\right|^{-m_2-n_2}+C\left|x_1\right|^{-m_1-n_1}\left|x_2\right|^{-m_2-n_2}\right), \\ &\qquad (x_1,x_2)\in\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}\backslash\mathcal{M}.\end{aligned}$$

Assume that $-n_1<m_1<0$, $-n_2<m_2<0$ and $N\ge\max\{n_1+1,n_2+1\}$. Then using part (b) of the previous lemma we conclude that $\mathcal{F}^{-1}a\in L^1\left(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}\right)$. Since $\mathbf{m}\le\overline{\mathbf{m}}\Rightarrow\mathcal{S}^{\mathbf{m}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}\right)\subset\mathcal{S}^{\overline{\mathbf{m}}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}\right)$, it follows that $\mathcal{F}^{-1}a$ belongs to $L^1\left(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}\right)$ for any $a\in\mathcal{S}^{\mathbf{m}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}\right)$ with $m_1<0$ and $m_2<0$.

Thus we have proved the following

Proposition A.3. *Let* $a\in\mathcal{S}^{\mathbf{m}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}\right)=\mathcal{S}^{m_1,m_2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}\right)$. *We denote by* $\mathcal{M}$ *the set* $\{(x_1,x_2)\in\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}:|x_1|\,|x_2|=0\}$. *Then:*

(i) $\mathcal{F}^{-1}a\in\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}\backslash\mathcal{M}\right)$.

(ii) *If* $m_1+n_1>0$ *and* $m_2+n_2>0$, *then for any* $N\in\mathbb{N}$, *there is* $C_N>0$ *such that*

$$\left|\mathcal{F}^{-1}a\left(x_1,x_2\right)\right|\le C_N\langle x_1\rangle^{-N}\langle x_2\rangle^{-N}\left(1+\left|x_1\right|^{-m_1-n_1}\right)\left(1+\left|x_2\right|^{-m_2-n_2}\right), \qquad (x_1,x_2)\in\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}\backslash\mathcal{M}.$$

(iii) *If* $m_1<0$ *and* $m_2<0$, *then* $\mathcal{F}^{-1}a\in L^1\left(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}\right)$.

Corollary A.4. *Let* $a\in\mathcal{S}^{\mathbf{m}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}\right)=\mathcal{S}^{m_1,m_2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}\right)$ *and* $1\le p\le\infty$. *If* $m_1<0$ *and* $m_2<0$, *then* $a\left(P_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}},P_{\mathbb{R}^{n_2}}\right)\in\mathcal{B}\left(L^p\left(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}\right)\right)$.

Corollary A.5. *If* $s_1,s_2\ge0,\varepsilon>0$ *and* $1\le p\le\infty$, *then*

$$\left(I-\triangle_1\otimes I\right)^{s_1}\left(I-I\otimes\triangle_2\right)^{s_2}\left(I-\triangle_1\otimes I-I\otimes\triangle_2\right)^{-s_1-s_2-\varepsilon} \in\mathcal{B}\left(L^p\left(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}\right)\right).$$

References

[1] R. Beals: On the boundedness of pseudodifferential operators, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 2 (1977), 1063- 1070.

[2] J. Bergh and J. Löfström: Interpolation Spaces, Springer, Berlin, 1976.

[3] M.S. Birman and M.Z. Solomjak: Spectral Theory of Self-Adjoint Operators in HilbertSpace, D. Riedel Publishing Company, 1987.

[4] A. Boulkhemair: L^2 Estimates for Weyl Quantization, J. Funct. Anal. 165 (1999), 173-204.

[5] G. Bourdaud and Y. Meyer: Inégalités L^2 précisées pour la classe $S^0_{0,0}$, Bull. Soc. Math. France 116 (1988), 401-412.

[6] A. Boutet de Monvel-Berthier and V. Georgescu: Graded C*-algebras associated to symplectic spaces and spectral analysis of many channel Hamiltonians, Dynamics of complex and irregular systems (Bielefeld, 1991), 22-66, Bielefeld Encount. Math. Phys., VIII, World Sci. Publishing, 1993.

[7] A.P. Calderón and R. Vaillancourt: On the boundedness of pseudo-differential operators, J. Math. Soc. Japan 23 (1971) 374-378.

[8] A.G. Childs: On L^2 boundedness of pseudo-differential operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 61 (1976), 252-254.

[9] R. Coifman and Y. Meyer: Au delà des opérateurs pseudo-différentiels, Astérisque 57 (1978).

[10] H.O. Cordes: On compactness of commutators of multiplications and convolutions, and boundedness of pseudodifferential operators, J. Funct. Anal. 18 (1975), 115-131.

[11] G. B. Folland: Harmonic analysis in phase space, Princeton University Press, 1989.

[12] K. Gröchenig and C. Heil: Modulation spaces and pseudodifferential operators, Integral Equations Operator Theory 34 (1999), 439-457.

[13] C. Heil, J. Ramanathan, and P. Topiwala: Singular values of compact pseudodifferential operators, J. Funct. Anal. 150 (1997), 426-452.

[14] L. Hörmander: The Weyl calculusof pseudo-differential operators, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 32 (1979), 359-443.

[15] L. Hörmander: The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators, vol. I, III, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York Tokyo, 1983, 1985.

[16] R. Howe: Quantum mechanics and partial differential equations, J. Funct. Anal. 38 (1980), 188-254.

[17] I.L. Hwang: On L^2-boundedness of pseudodifferential operators, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 302, No.1 (1987), 55-76.

[18] D. Kastler: The C*-algebras of a free boson field. I. Discussion of basic facts, Comm. Math. Phys. 9 (1965), 14-48.

[19] T. Kato: Boundedness of some pseudo-differential operators, Osaka J. Math. 13 (1976), 1-9.

[20] I. Raeburn and D.P. Wiliams: Morita Equivalence and Continuous Trace C^*-Algebras, American Mathematical Society, 1998.

[21] M. Reed and Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, vol. I, Academic Press, New York San Francisco London, 1972.

[22] B. Simon: Trace Ideals and their Applications, vol.35, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, Cambrige University Press, Cambrige London New York Melbourne, 1979.

[23] M.E. Taylor: Pseudodifferential Operators, Princeton, University Press, 1981.

[24] H. Triebel: Interpolation Theory, Function Spaces, Differential Operators, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1978.

[25] J. Toft: Continuity and Positivity Problems in Pseudo-Differential Calculus, Thesis, Departament of Mathematics, University of Lund, Lund, 1996.

[26] J. Toft: Regularizations, decompositions and lower bound problems in the Weyl calculus, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 7&8 (2000), 1201- 1234.

[27] J. Toft: Subalgebras to a Wienner type algebra of pseudo-differential operators, Ann. Inst. Fourier 51 (2001), 1347-1383.

[28] J. Toft: Continuity properties in non-commutative convolution algebras, with applications in pseudo-differential calculus, Bull. Sci. Math. 126 (2002), 115-142.

Institute of Mathematics of The Romanian Academy, P.O. Box 1-174, RO-70700, Bucharest, Romania

E-mail address: `agmil@home.ro`